

Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Cornelie Crous¹

¹ University of the Free State

Potential competing interests: No conflict of interest

The document should be edited for language. There are cases where there are spaces missing between words and words spelled with capital letter that should be in smaller case letters, that could have been prevented with language editing before submission. Additionally, the references are incomplete. For example In the first paragraph reference is made to Sheldon's book "The Philosophy of Management", yet this title is not included on the reference list.

The spelling of the author names in the text should be double-checked. There are several cases where the spelling is suspect and where the surnames are not spelled with capital letters where needed.

There are also very long sentences which makes the document difficult to read. Dividing the sentences into smaller sentences will improve the readability of the document and also take care of the problem where there are paragraphs which only contains one sentence.

The data and methodology section can be expanded for reproducibility purposes. The authors can also consider including a schematic. Refer to <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/EMJB-12-2020-0134/full/html> for an example of how it should look. Additionally, when someone wants to reperform the study, it is difficult to do so with the limited information about the analysis of the data in CiteSpace. More detail is necessary.

There are several occasions where "facts" are stated without any proof or sources.

The data collection and analysis process was not clearly explained under the method section. The results are thus hanging in the air with the reader operating/reading without any background of what is going on. How did you, for example, look at synonyms or differences in spelling? What did you use to define your keyword words to be used for the search? What source did you use to support the definition of what is considered to be a significant Q value?

The interpretation of the results are also questionable. For example, the statement is made that the occurrence of the words "harmonious society", "corporate innovation" and "low carbon economy" is proof that domestic research are aligned with the national development strategies. However, the mere occurrence of these words in the research projects does not correlate to the alignment of the national development strategies. It merely indicate that authors are aware of the development strategies.

The discussion of the results under 4.2 does not add any value to the discussion.

The reference styles differ from page to page. MAke sure that you use a consistent reference method.

Consider including the co-occurrence tables in the research as well. It may contribute towards the understanding and readability of the research.

The article has potential, but needs significant work to improve the readability for the readers.