

# Review of: "Clausius' thermodynamics, the logical conclusion of the conceptual differentiation of caloric in terms of the two laws of thermodynamics"

Ignazio Blanco<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Catania

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The manuscript by this Author aims at review some considerations deriving from the two thermodynamics laws. It was very difficult perform this review, because the Author faces the problem from a too "physics" point of view, while thermodynamics is above all (if not exclusively) chemistry. I have nothing against the publication of this work, which remains a personal point of view, a revisionist analysis of some laws and corollaries, not an experimental work supported by data. In any case, I am obliged to point out something that is wrong and that in my opinion should be reviewed before considering the publication. All the equations must be checked, because are not well-formatted. I did not like the use of terms like "doctrine" "truism" "orthodox" and son on, that are not common in a scientific work whilst are usually employed in sermon. Philosophical sentences like "The truism is false", apart that are contradictions, it seems to me not suitable for a scientific manuscript. What does "energy physics" means"? Why physics? See the attached report for other suggestions.