

Review of: "Implementing Machine Learning to predict the 10-year risk of Cardiovascular Disease"

Premaladha Jayaraman¹

1 SASTRA Deemed University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Stated that the dataset has 76 variables, were examined, but only 14 relevant and complete variables were chosen for analysis. how it is chosen .Justify feature selection process.
- 2. plot correlation for all 76 variables to confirm the negative influence.
- 3. The proposed work is claimed as binary classification, but it has class 0 to class 4, Clarify. Are these Class 1 (sub classes represents Staging or severity??)
- 4. Why the Extra Trees Classifier is applied to choose the top four features. why not other classifiers??, And is this a real classifier that aims to choose contributing feature selection? clarify.
- 5. Share the number of data samples used in training and justify the cross fold validation value as 10. Why not it can use range between 2-4 for faster convergence? Justify
- 6. Include more details on the network or method you employed in feature selection process.
- 7. Expected the justification that why specific optimizer are choosen for implementation over other advanced optimizer and how that influences the results.
- 8. Brief, how you handle both discrete and continuous features at the same instance in the feature extraction. what is the threshold for continuous features.
- 9. A confusion matrix of the classified samples and no.of misclassified sample is expected to add more visibility to the proposed work.