

# Review of: "Knowledge of Risk Associated with Exposure to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Abuja, Nigeria"

Jean Noel Uwayezu

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I acknowledge that this work is highly important, given that the PFAS topic is still relevant across different continents, including Africa. However, the paper is poorly written and therefore must be categorically rejected. All authors need to familiarize themselves with the existing literature about PFAS to gain insight into expressions used in the PFAS field (e.g., use 'degradation' instead of 'deterioration'). This may also include addressing the use of AI in writing, which needs to be well-controlled. There are several statements indicating the use of AI.

Secondly, what were the reasons for designing the questions as they are in the questionnaire? They don't make any sense. For instance, if you are questioning a non-educated person or if a government has not established any approach to sensitize anything about PFAS because they consider it not to be one of the priority issues to solve, what are you expecting from the question 'Have knowledge of PFAS and associated risks' or blaming the government for the indiscriminate use of PFAS-containing products? The authors need to describe the interviewers well and provide information about why the research questions were designed the way they are.

When it comes to the results and discussion perspective, this is a report, not an article. An article should predominantly contain discussions supported by literature.