Review Article

Mixture Toxicity: Evidence from Experimental Studies on Concurrent Chemical Exposures

Jose L. Domingo[1]

1. Laboratory of Toxicology and Environmental Health, School of Medicine, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Spain

This review synthesizes evidence from studies investigating the toxicological consequences of concurrent chemical exposures, emphasizing the inadequacy of traditional single-chemical risk assessment models. Organisms inhabiting natural environments are frequently exposed to complex mixtures of chemicals, leading to interactions that often produce non-additive effects such as synergism or antagonism, rather than simple additive responses predicted by conventional toxicological models. Experimental studies in animals and aquatic models have demonstrated that such exposures can be influenced by multiple variables including chemical identity, dose ratios, exposure duration, biological endpoints, and mechanistic pathways. The present review highlights key methodological approaches, such as concentration addition (CA) and independent action (IA) models, which attempt to predict mixture toxicity, though their reliability varies considerably. Critical factors like exposure timing and the biological characteristics of test organisms further complicate predictions. The translational challenges of extrapolating findings from animal models to humans, given species-specific toxicokinetic and genetic differences, are also explored. To address these complexities, this paper advocates for mechanistically-informed frameworks that incorporate high-throughput omics technologies, computational modeling, and standardized protocols for assessing environmentally relevant mixtures. It calls for a shift toward tiered, cumulative risk-assessment strategies that reflect real-world exposure scenarios and prioritize vulnerable populations. Such a transition is essential for advancing predictive toxicology and improving public and environmental health protection. The review ultimately calls for abandoning the outdated single-agent paradigm in favor of holistic, evidence-based approaches capable of managing the complexity of chemical exposures.

Corresponding author: Jose L Domingo, joseluis.domingo@urv.cat

Abbreviations

- ADME: Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion

- AOP: Adverse Outcome Pathway

- CA: Concentration Addition

- CRA: Cumulative Risk Assessment

- IA: Independent Action

- MoA: Mode of Action

- NOAEL: No Observed Adverse Effect Level

- PFAS: Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

- PFOS: Perfluorooctane Sulfonate

- PFOA: Perfluorooctanoic Acid

- PBTK: Physiologically-Based Toxicokinetic

- TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act

- US EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

Introduction

The biosphere is increasingly saturated with a vast and heterogeneous array of anthropogenic chemicals originating from industrial activities, agriculture, pharmaceuticals, consumer products, and natural processes. This omnipresent chemical landscape has created a scenario in which virtually all living organisms are continuously and concurrently exposed to complex mixtures of toxicants rather than isolated substances[1][2]. Environmental and occupational exposures rarely involve single chemicals but rather complex mixtures that can interact through various toxicological mechanisms. Understanding these interactions is crucial for accurate risk assessment and regulatory decision-making.

The traditional approach of assessing individual chemicals, which may underestimate or overestimate the risks posed by concurrent exposures, necessitates the development of mixture-specific assessment methodologies[3][4]. Traditional single-chemical approaches frequently mischaracterize health risks, as chemicals within mixtures can interact in additive, synergistic, or antagonistic ways[5][6][7]. These interactive effects are not arbitrary but are shaped by multiple interrelated factors, including the

physicochemical properties of the compounds, their relative concentrations, timing, sequence, and duration of exposure, as well as the biological characteristics of the exposed organism[8][9][10].

Experimental animal studies offer a controlled, ethically managed, and mechanistically informative platform to elucidate the nature and impact of such interactions. These models enable dissection of joint toxic actions, identification of vulnerable developmental windows, such as gestation, lactation, and early postnatal life, as well as the exploration of mechanisms ranging from molecular disruptions to physiological alterations[11][12][13][14][15][16]. Moreover, animal studies facilitate the generation of crucial dose-response data for mixtures, support physiologically based toxicokinetic (PBTK) modeling[17] and contribute directly to the refinement of cumulative risk assessment methodologies[18][19].

The translational relevance of such findings is underscored by growing evidence linking mixture exposures in animal models to pathologies analogous to those observed in humans, such as liver damage from metal mixtures[20][21], reinforcing their role in shaping more ecologically and clinically relevant regulatory policies[22][4].

Considering the above, the purpose of this review was to synthesize and critically evaluate the current body of experimental studies on the toxicological effects of chemical mixtures. The specific objectives are: (1) to systematically summarize key findings from a wide range of studies, focusing on the types and mechanisms of observed interactions (additivity, synergy, antagonism); (2) to analyze the influence of critical variables such as dose, timing, mixture composition, and biological susceptibility; (3) to discuss methodological strengths and limitations in current experimental and modeling approaches; and (4) to identify knowledge gaps and propose future research directions aimed at enhancing the scientific basis for assessing and managing risks associated with real-world chemical co-exposures.

Methods (Search Strategy)

This comprehensive review manuscript was meticulously compiled through an iterative and expansive literature search strategy designed to identify and incorporate a broad spectrum of experimental studies investigating the cumulative, interactive, or combined effects resulting from concurrent or closely sequential exposure to two or more distinct chemical toxicants. A systematic search was conducted across primary scientific databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, utilizing a comprehensive set of search terms and Boolean operators, including but not limited to "chemical mixtures," "combined exposure," "concurrent exposure," "cumulative toxicity," "toxicant

interactions," "joint action," "synergism," "antagonism," and "additivity," paired with "animal models" and "experimental studies," frequently combined with specific model organisms (e.g., "mice," "rats," "Daphnia magna," "zebrafish," "rabbits," "primates") and pertinent chemical classes or individual toxicants of interest (e.g., "metals," "pesticides," "organophosphates," "pyrethroids," "endocrine disruptors," "solvents," "nanomaterials," "air pollutants," "lead," "mercury," "arsenic," "cadmium," "bisphenol A," "phthalates," "PCBs").

A core component of this review involved the critical assimilation and detailed analysis of a specifically provided list of references, ensuring these contributions were thoughtfully contextualized and integrated within the broader scientific narrative, augmented by a diligent search for additional primary research articles published across a wide timeframe to ensure comprehensive coverage. Stringent inclusion criteria were applied for primary studies emphasizing original in vivo research conducted using established animal models (both vertebrate and invertebrate) that reported quantifiable toxicological outcomes (e.g., mortality, morbidity, developmental effects, neurobehavioral changes, organ-specific pathology, biochemical alterations, genotoxicity, carcinogenicity) resulting from well-defined co-exposures.

Review articles, methodological papers, perspective pieces, and risk assessment framework documents, including several from the initial list and others identified during the search, were strategically employed to frame the introductory and discussion sections, provide essential theoretical and mechanistic background, and to understand the historical evolution and current challenges in assessing risks from chemical mixtures. The substantive core of the Results synthesis (presented across subsequent thematic sections) was derived exclusively from primary experimental data that elucidated interactive or cumulative effects in animal models.

Methodological Approaches and Theoretical Frameworks

Dawson et al.[23] established fundamental principles for evaluating mixture toxicity through their examination of 30 binary combinations of soft electrophiles using dose–response curve analysis with the *Vibrio fischeri* bioluminescence inhibition assay (Microtox test). It was demonstrated that time-dependent toxicity tests were essential for understanding mixture interactions, as chemicals with fully irreversible effects behaved differently from those with partially or fully reversible toxic effects. The study revealed that while most combined effects were close to dose-additive for hazard assessment purposes, particularly for congeneric chemicals sharing similar reactive mechanisms, the underlying mechanisms

were considerably more complex than simple additivity models would suggest. Extending that initial work, Rice et al.[19] proposed an iterative, practical approach for assessing human exposures to chemical mixtures present in the environment, encompassing both Problem Formulation and Exposure Assessment elements. This methodology emphasized the importance of understanding changes in mixture composition due to differential transport, degradation, and partitioning processes in the environment, focusing on methods for identifying co-occurring chemicals, characterizing exposure levels and patterns, and grouping chemicals for cumulative assessment. While primarily an exposure assessment framework, it directly informs the design of relevant animal mixture studies by helping to prioritize mixtures of concern and define realistic exposure scenarios.

On the other hand, Sasso et al.[17] developed the Generalized Toxicokinetic Modeling system for Mixtures (GTMM), a physiologically-based framework that maintains consistency across different chemicals while incorporating interaction effects of complex mixtures, specifically designed for chemical mixtures containing metals. This sophisticated computational framework allows for the simulation of the complex absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) processes for multiple metals concurrently, accounting for potential interactions at the kinetic level, such as competition for transporters or binding sites. This system demonstrated the feasibility of modeling toxicokinetics of complex, interacting mixtures based on available literature information, providing a more mechanistic basis for assessing mixture toxicity. The methodological landscape was further advanced by Hertzberg et al.[24], who presented a four-step approach for evaluating chemical mixture data consistency with dose addition. Their framework evaluated toxic proportionality, mixture model fit, agreement between mixture data and combined prediction models, and consistency between theoretical and observed mixture effects. This approach provides researchers and regulators with a systematic methodology for evaluating whether empirically observed mixture toxicity data conform to the dose addition model, the prevailing default assumption in mixture risk assessment, or exhibit significant deviations indicative of synergistic or antagonistic interactions. The framework employs rigorous statistical comparisons between experimentally derived mixture dose–response relationships and theoretical dose–response curves predicted under the dose addition assumption, thereby enabling quantitative characterization of interaction types and precise determination of their magnitude relative to additivity baselines. That analysis demonstrated that statistical adjustment for multiple comparisons is necessary due to the increased opportunities to reject dose addition hypotheses. Similarly, Leeman et al.[25] addressed the safety assessment framework based on the Threshold of Toxicological Concern, reviewing evidence

suggesting that even at low doses (often below NOAELs), mixtures of chemicals, particularly those sharing common modes of action or affecting common biological pathways, could elicit significant cumulative effects. It was concluded that cumulative effects at exposure levels below established thresholds might occur in complex mixtures, emphasizing the "something from nothing" phenomenon. In turn, Gallagher et al.[26] reviewed ten US EPA cumulative risk assessments (CRAs), identifying key lessons for implementation. CRAs, which assess risks from multiple chemical and non-chemical stressors, require iterative approaches, stakeholder engagement, and tiered strategies to address multiroute exposures and geographical scales. These assessments enhance the real-world relevance of animal studies by prioritizing realistic exposure scenarios and vulnerable populations, thereby informing mixture toxicity research design.

Influence of Environmental Stressors

The role of concurrent stressors in modulating mixture toxicity represents an important but understudied area. Maternal restraint stress in mammals has been linked to negative outcomes such as impaired implantation, reduced embryo and fetal survival, lower birth weights, skeletal abnormalities, and alterations in neurobehavioral development. Given that pregnant women may simultaneously be exposed to both environmental metals and various forms of stress, Domingo et al.[27] reviewed the influence of maternal stress on metal-induced pre- and postnatal effects. Experimental studies were conducted in our laboratory. Thus, Colomina et al.[13] demonstrated that maternal restraint stress could substantially worsen the harmful impacts of toxic elements, though the magnitude of these interactions varied between mercury and arsenic exposures. Developmental landmarks showed significant delays when arsenite exposure was combined with restraint stress, while mercury-induced effects were less influenced by concurrent stress. Additional research by Colomina et al.[14], examining caffeine and aspirin combinations with maternal stress, revealed that prenatal stress could slightly exacerbate maternal and developmental toxicity of these drug combinations. These studies highlighted that environmental and physiological stressors could act as modulating factors in mixture toxicity, thereby complicating risk assessment predictions based solely on chemical interactions.

Further evidence of metal-stress interactions was shown by Torrente et al.[28], who investigated the behavioral consequences of concurrent high-dose manganese exposure and restraint stress in adult male rats. The results suggested that restraint stress and high manganese exposure might interact at neurotransmitter levels while producing opposing effects, indicating complex neurochemical

interactions. Similarly, Linares et al.[29] examined how restraint stress modulated uranium-induced oxidative damage in brain tissues of adult male rats. Although restraint stress showed minimal additional adverse effects at the tested uranium doses, it was concluded that the potential influence of concurrent stress should not be underestimated.

On the other hand, the interactive effects of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) exposure and maternal restraint stress were also extensively studied in our laboratory[30][31][32][33]. Both PFOS and restraint stress induced maternal toxicity, while PFOS exposure increased prenatal mortality. Restraint stress enhanced fetal toxicity only at the lowest PFOS dose. Long-term behavioral assessments of offspring revealed complex interactions, with animals exposed to both PFOS and restraint stress showing reduced mobility and sex-specific learning impairments. Postnatal development studies demonstrated that PFOS and restraint stress produced opposing developmental effects.

The above studies collectively demonstrate that concurrent stressors can significantly modify chemical toxicity through multiple mechanisms, often in dose-dependent, sex-specific ways, producing both synergistic and antagonistic effects. Regarding this, Jonker et al.[34] reviewed studies on combined exposures to chemicals and non-chemical stressors (e.g., noise, heat, restraint), highlighting a significant knowledge gap. The lack of data on these interactions complicates risk assessments, as non-chemical stressors can modulate chemical toxicity, necessitating integrated approaches to evaluate cumulative health impacts.

Experimental Animal Studies

Mammalian studies have provided crucial insights into mixture toxicity mechanisms and dose-response relationships under controlled conditions. Sánchez et al.[6] investigated the nephrotoxic effects of concurrent mercury (as mercuric chloride) and uranium (as uranyl acetate) exposure in adult male Wistar rats. Their findings unequivocally demonstrated that combined exposure resulted in significantly more severe kidney damage (functional impairment and structural lesions) than predicted by summing individual effects, strongly indicating a potent synergistic interaction. Regarding developmental toxicology, Bellés et al.[11] assessed the combined effects of lead, methylmercury, and arsenic in pregnant Swiss mice. Co-exposure led to notable alterations in the toxicokinetics of individual metals and exacerbated certain developmental toxicities, such as reduced fetal weight and increased skeletal anomalies, compared to single metal exposures at equivalent doses, strongly suggesting synergistic

interactions. This research highlighted the importance of exposure timing and developmental stage in determining mixture effects. Furthermore, Bellés et al.[12] examined combined postnatal administration of cesium-137 and nicotine in developing Swiss mice, finding that combined exposure led to significant modifications in anxiety-like behavior and impaired learning and spatial memory compared to controls or singly exposed groups, suggesting synergistic or additive detrimental impacts. The complexity of mixture interactions was also demonstrated by Heredia et al.[16], who investigated neurobehavioral effects of concurrent neonatal exposure to cesium-137 and paraquat in developing CD-1 mice. Co-exposed mice exhibited significantly more pronounced or qualitatively different alterations in anxiety-related behavior and spatial learning/memory compared to controls or singly exposed groups, suggesting synergistic or potentiated neurotoxic profiles. Interestingly, Heredia et al.[35] also showed that bisphenol A co-exposure could ameliorate radiation-induced learning impairments in mice exposed postnatally to cesium-137 and BPA, suggesting protective interactions under certain exposure scenarios.

Pesticide mixture research by Moser et al.[10] using N-methylcarbamate combinations (seven different carbamates) in preweaning and adult Sprague-Dawley rats revealed different interactive properties for different mixing ratios. The principle of dose addition (concentration addition) provided reasonably accurate prediction for cholinesterase inhibition. However, varying chemical proportions could lead to some deviations, highlighting that relative contribution and potency are critical factors. Relative potency mixtures showed dose additivity for most endpoints while environmental mixtures demonstrated greater-than-additive responses. The results of that study emphasized that mixing ratios significantly influence interaction patterns and highlighted the importance of environmentally relevant exposure scenarios in mixture toxicity assessment. On the other hand, Howdeshell et al.[36] reviewed antiandrogenic phthalate mixtures in rats, finding dose-additive suppression of fetal testosterone production. Phthalate mixtures with antiandrogenic pesticides also showed additive effects, supporting cumulative risk assessments. These findings, combined with human biomonitoring data, indicated that individual phthalate risk estimates might underestimate health impacts, necessitating CRA approaches. Subsequently, Conley et al.[37] studied PFOA and PFOS co-exposure in pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats from gestation day 8 to postnatal day 2. Combined exposure shifted PFOA dose-response curves toward effects at lower doses for shared endpoints, with dose addition accurately predicting most outcomes except for less-than-additive maternal weight gain. These results support cumulative effects and the use of dose-additive models for PFAS mixtures. A summary of key findings is shown in Table 1.

Study	Chemical Mixture	Key Findings
Sánchez et al. [6]	Mercury and Uranium	Combined exposure resulted in significantly more severe kidney damage than predicted by summing individual effects, indicating a potent synergistic interaction.
Bellés et al.[11]	Lead, Methylmercury, and Arsenic	Co-exposure led to notable alterations in the toxicokinetics of individual metals and exacerbated certain developmental toxicities, such as reduced fetal weight and increased skeletal anomalies.
Heredia et al. [16]	Cesium-137 and Paraquat	Co-exposed mice exhibited significantly more pronounced or qualitatively different alterations in anxiety-related behavior and spatial learning/memory compared to controls or singly exposed groups.
Moser et al. [10]	N-methylcarbamate combinations	Varying chemical proportions could lead to some deviations from dose addition, highlighting that relative contribution and potency are critical factors.
Howdeshell et al.[36]	Antiandrogenic phthalate mixtures	Dose–additive suppression of fetal testosterone production, supporting cumulative risk assessments.
Conley et al. [37]	PFOA and PFOS	Combined exposure shifted PFOA dose-response curves toward effects at lower doses for shared endpoints, with dose addition accurately predicting most outcomes except for less-than-additive maternal weight gain.

Table 1. Key findings from experimental animal studies

Aquatic Toxicology Studies

The aquatic environment provides an ideal testing ground for mixture toxicity research due to controlled exposure conditions and well–established test organisms. Barata et al.[38] investigated lethal and sublethal responses of *Daphnia magna* to binary combinations of metals (cadmium, copper, zinc) and pyrethroid insecticides (cypermethrin, deltamethrin). Their results revealed a highly complex spectrum of interactions; notably, certain metal-pyrethroid mixtures exhibited clear synergism. Importantly, model predictive abilities changed across endpoints. The independent action concept accurately predicted mixture toxicities for lethal responses of dissimilarly acting chemicals, whereas concentration addition

was more appropriate for feeding responses, irrespective of chemical mode of action. This finding challenged traditional assumptions about the relationship between pharmacological mode of action and mixture prediction models. Extending that work, Barata et al.[39] examined joint toxicity effects on offspring production in *D. magna* using binary mixtures targeting different biological processes. Their results indicated that the dominant ecotoxicological rather than pharmacological mode of action should guide mixture effect predictions for life-history traits. In parallel, Syberg et al.[7] experimentally explored mixture toxicity using *D. magna* exposed to binary and ternary mixtures of potassium dichromate (metal), sodium dodecyl sulfate (anionic surfactant), and carbaryl (carbamate insecticide). The authors reported that both CA and IA models equally predicted binary and ternary mixtures of similar- and dissimilar-acting toxicants in *D. magna* immobilization experiments, highlighting the importance of endpoint selection and underscoring the need for considering the mode(s) of action when selecting predictive models. For mixtures with different modes of action, deviations from simple additivity were more frequently observed.

Previous research by Barata et al.[40] using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* demonstrated that selection of mixture toxicity models based on ecotoxicological mode of action provided more accurate predictions than those based on pharmacological mode of action when assessing population growth rate responses. This finding reinforced the concept that biological organization level influences the most appropriate mixture assessment approach. Pavlaki et al.[41] studied the toxicity of imidacloprid, thiacloprid, and nickel chloride on *D. magna*, measuring survival, reproduction, and body length. Nickel chloride was the most toxic individually, followed by thiacloprid and imidacloprid. Combined imidacloprid-thiacloprid exposure caused synergistic effects on reproduction at sublethal doses, while body length impacts aligned with concentration addition. Imidacloprid-nickel mixtures showed no reproductive interaction but induced dose-dependent body length effects, synergistic at low concentrations and antagonistic at higher levels. More recently, Maloney et al.[42] assessed acute (96-h) toxicity of neonicotinoid mixtures (imidacloprid, clothianidin, thiamethoxam) in *Chironomus dilutus*. Binary and ternary mixtures showed dose-level and dose-ratio-dependent synergism, deviating from concentration-additive predictions, with LC50 values of 4.63–55.34 μg/L for individual compounds. These findings demonstrate significant departures from additive toxicity models and underscore the necessity for empirically-based revisions to current water quality criteria and regulatory frameworks. Maloney et al.[43] extended this to chronic (28-day) exposures in *C. dilutus*, finding dose-ratio-dependent synergism in imidacloprid-thiamethoxam mixtures, with up to 10% greater emergence reduction and male-dominated sex-ratio shifts, emphasizing the need for

further research on neonicotinoid mixture effects in aquatic ecosystems. Key findings are summarized in Table 2.

Study	Chemical Mixture	Key Findings
Barata et al.[38]	Metals (Cadmium, Copper, Zinc) and Pyrethroid Insecticides (Cypermethrin, Deltamethrin)	Certain metal–pyrethroid mixtures exhibited clear synergism, and model predictive abilities changed across endpoints.
Barata et al.[39]	Binary mixtures targeting different biological processes	The dominant ecotoxicological rather than pharmacological mode of action should guide mixture effect predictions for life–history traits.
Syberg et al.[7]	Potassium Dichromate, Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate, and Carbaryl	Both CA and IA models equally predicted binary and ternary mixtures of similar– and dissimilar–acting toxicants in *D. magna* immobilization experiments.
Pavlaki et al.[41]	Neonicotinoid insecticides and nickel chloride combinations	The imidacloprid–nickel mixture displayed no interaction for reproduction in *D. magna* but showed dose-dependent effects on body length; synergistic at low doses and antagonistic at higher concentrations.
Maloney et al.[42]	Neonicotinoid mixtures (Imidacloprid, Clothianidin, Thiamethoxam)	Binary and ternary mixtures showed dose-level and dose–ratio-dependent synergism, deviating from concentration-additive predictions.
Maloney et al.[43]	Imidacloprid and Thiamethoxam	Dose-ratio-dependent synergism in imidacloprid–thiamethoxam mixtures, with up to 10% greater emergence reduction and male-dominated sex-ratio shifts.

Table 2. Key findings from aquatic toxicology studies

Human Epidemiological Evidence

Human studies provide the most direct evidence of mixture effects on health outcomes, though they present unique methodological challenges. Huang et al.[44] investigated the effects of multiple heavy metal exposures on liver function in mining area populations of China, employing Bayesian kernel

machine regression. Their findings revealed that cumulative exposure to arsenic, lead, and cadmium was significantly negatively associated with liver function, with lead contributing most substantially. Animal studies confirmed that co-exposure could aggravate liver dysfunction compared to single-metal treatments. Chang et al.[20] extended that research to non-contaminated rural populations, finding that higher concentrations of metal mixtures were positively correlated with indicators of poor liver function, with lead again showing the strongest contribution. Their analyses demonstrated possible interactions between cadmium and other heavy metals in affecting liver biomarkers. These findings are consistent with and supported by numerous animal studies demonstrating synergistic or additive hepatotoxicity from metal mixtures, highlighting translational relevance. Similarly, Yin et al.[21] conducted longitudinal studies revealing synergistic effects between cadmium-chromium on liver enzymes and three-way antagonistic effects of manganese-lead-chromium on albumin levels, illustrating the complexity of multi-metal interactions in human populations. Also in China, renal toxicity studies by Yin et al. [45] demonstrated significant impacts of both individual and combined heavy metal exposures on renal biomarkers, with synergistic effects observed for multiple metal pairs and antagonistic three-way interactions. These findings provided valuable insights into mechanisms linking multiple metal exposures to organ-specific toxicity in human populations under real-world exposure conditions.

In a contemporary review, Kassotis and Phillips[22] discussed complexities of assessing "Complex Mixtures and Multiple Stressors," advocating for increased use of whole-mixture testing, bioassays, and effect-based monitoring. In turn, Sprinkle and Payne-Sturges[46] examined US EPA's historical constraints in addressing mixture toxicity, finding statutory and internal barriers limited progress. Despite evidence of synergistic effects in biocide formulations, regulatory focus remained on single chemicals, contrasting with industry's use of synergism for lethality. The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NHANES) pursued more scientific investigation, highlighting the need for regulatory reform. Recently, Haruna and Obeng-Gyasi[47] analyzed NHANES 2017–2018 data, finding non-linear, non-additive effects of PFOA, PFOS, cadmium, mercury, and lead on chronic kidney disease. Cadmium and mercury showed strong associations, with U- and N-shaped exposure-response relationships, emphasizing the need for advanced statistical methods and public health interventions to mitigate cumulative PFAS-metal effects. In turn, Itoh et al.[48] studied prenatal PFAS exposure in 15,131 Japanese mothers, finding no significant association with developmental delays in 4-year-olds across five domains. However, unmeasured PFAS and postnatal exposures remain concerns, warranting continued investigation into long-term developmental impacts.

Pesticide Mixture Assessment

The regulatory landscape for pesticide mixtures has evolved significantly since the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 mandated consideration of cumulative effects from chemicals with common mechanisms of toxicity. Wilkinson et al.[3] critically evaluated various methods for combining exposures to estimate risks from common mechanism chemicals, including hazard index, toxicity equivalence factor, and combined margin of exposure procedures. Their analysis revealed that the point of departure index and margin of exposure approaches were preferable because they separate policy-driven and data-based uncertainty factors, making the assessment process more transparent. Chen et al.[5] proposed formal statistical procedures for estimating cumulative risk by fitting dose-response models under dose addition assumptions, providing a crucial conceptual framework that influenced subsequent animal mixture studies. Boobis et al.[18] provided comprehensive guidance for cumulative risk assessment of pesticide residues in food, reviewing methodologies used by regulatory agencies. Their review emphasized that the main concern arises from dose addition of compounds acting by the same mode of action, though synergy should be addressed case-by-case where biologically plausible. These authors highlighted ongoing efforts to refine assessment strategies and the need for more data on pesticide interactions at low, environmentally relevant levels. The tiered approach recommended by the European Food Safety Authority (EFA) provides a framework for resource-efficient cumulative assessment while maintaining scientific rigor. Recently, Payne-Sturges et al.[49] proposed a cumulative risk assessment (CRA) approach for seven phthalates under TSCA, using integrative physiology and common adverse outcome algorithms. They reviewed US EPA guidance and peer-reviewed literature, recommending adjustments to hazard indices and margins of exposure to determine "unreasonable risk," thereby enhancing regulatory decision-making for phthalate mixtures.

Statistical Methods and Data Analysis

Robust statistical methods are crucial for evaluating toxicological interactions among mixture components. Gennings et al.[50] proposed a unifying statistical concept for assessing toxicological interactions by focusing on changes in the slope of dose-response curves for mixtures compared to individual components. They developed a four-step approach to evaluate mixtures for consistency with dose addition and to characterize deviations (synergism or antagonism). This concept aligns with traditional additivity models in statistical literature while providing a quantitative framework for

interaction detection and characterization from animal studies. El–Masri[51] reviewed experimental and mathematical modeling methods for investigating toxicological interactions, emphasizing limitations of empirical methods such as isobolograms and response surface methodology for extrapolation beyond experimental data ranges. Mechanistically–based models such as physiologically–based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic models were highlighted as superior approaches because they include explicit interaction mechanism descriptions related to target tissue levels, emphasizing the need for scientific support from expert panels and laboratory toxicologists. Recently, Hao et al.[52] compared 11 statistical methods for mixture analysis, using simulations and a Puerto Rican birth cohort to assess chemical mixtures (metals, PAHs, phthalates, phenols) and birth outcomes. No single method excelled universally; Super Learner improved risk stratification for cumulative effects. The study provides guidelines for selecting methods based on research goals, identifying gaps for future development.

Theoretical Considerations and Limitations

Several reviews have examined the theoretical foundations and practical limitations of mixture toxicity assessment. Borgert et al.[8] challenged the premise that dose–response characteristics can be predicted from individual component modes of action (MoA), citing examples where such predictions failed. The authors argued that while MoA information is invaluable, its application for predicting mixture effects, especially for chemicals with dissimilar or unelucidated MoAs, remains challenging. They emphasized that detoxification pathways must be understood before extrapolating dose addition to concentrations below no observable effect levels of mixture components, advocating for data–driven assessments. In turn, Lambert and Lipscomb[53] identified the lack of consensus on toxic mode of action definitions as a major rate–limiting step in mixture risk assessment advancement. They emphasized that for chemicals acting via similar mechanisms, concentration (dose) addition is generally most appropriate, whereas for dissimilarly acting chemicals, independent action might be suitable but cautioned about real–world mixtures with diverse or interacting MoAs. These authors proposed that critical evaluation of data at all biological organization levels for key event identification could facilitate appropriate mixture assessment approach selection. Teuschler[4], in a key guidance–oriented paper, discussed the complexities of deciding which chemical mixtures risk assessment methods work best, reviewing approaches from component–based methods to whole–mixture testing. Teuschler[4] highlighted the importance of considering data availability, similarity of chemical structures/MoAs, and regulatory context, advocating for a tiered or weight–of–evidence approach. The dose addition and isobole method limitations were

examined by Bosgra et al.[54], who demonstrated that these approaches have restricted applicability and can result in incorrect interaction conclusions under certain circumstances. They clarified conditions for each model, emphasizing dose addition for strictly similarly acting substances. Their physiologically-based mathematical modeling showed that chemicals with zero interaction could be misclassified as interacting using traditional isobole methods. Similarly, Løkke et al.[9] reviewed tools and perspectives for assessing chemical mixtures and multiple stressors. These authors highlighted the potential of mechanistically-based models that consider uptake and toxicity as time-dependent processes and advocated for a more holistic, systems-based approach.

Neurotoxicity and Developmental Effects

Neurotoxicity represents a particularly sensitive endpoint for mixture effects assessment. Dórea[15] reviewed lead-containing neurotoxicant mixtures during early life, finding that lead-associated effects from prenatal exposure create continued burdens on measurable neurodevelopment. The review, drawing on animal studies and human data, revealed that mixture potency and exposure timing showed measurable impacts on neurodevelopment, affecting cognitive function, behavior, and brain structure, though net effects and reversibility would require further investigation. Multiple exposures in children with autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorders strongly suggested lead-associated effects within mixture contexts, reinforcing the vulnerability of the developing brain. Mercury interactions with co-occurring neurotoxic substances were also examined by Dórea[55], who found that risks associated with multiple neurotoxicants depended on exposure type, timing, combinations, and environmental or genetic factors. Fish-methylmercury exposure during pregnancy and lactation was frequently confounded by opposing effects of neuroactive nutrients, leading to variable neurobehavioral test outcomes. Dórea[56] also reviewed aluminum and mercury neurotoxic effects, drawing on human epidemiological studies and experimental animal models. Animal studies showed co-exposure can lead to enhanced oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and more severe neurobehavioral deficits. Dórea[56] noted that while both elements share neuro-pathogenic pathways, their combined effects in Thimerosal-containing vaccines represent the most widespread binary mixture exposure in developing countries.

Carcinogenicity and Low–Dose Effects

The potential for low–dose chemical mixtures to contribute to carcinogenesis was examined by Goodson et al.[1] in "The Halifax Project." The authors reviewed 85 chemicals across 11 hallmark cancer phenotypes. Only 15% showed evidence of dose-response thresholds, while 59% exerted low-dose effects, suggesting that cumulative effects of individual chemicals acting on different pathways could produce carcinogenic synergies. That analysis, synthesizing evidence from numerous sources including animal experiments, highlighted the need for basic research on low-dose mixture effects and revision of traditional mode of action frameworks, challenging single-chemical approaches to carcinogen testing. In turn, Hernández and Tsatsakis[2] emphasized that little was known about potential adverse effects from long-term exposure to complex mixtures at low doses near health-based reference values. They advocated for integrated approaches combining in vivo, in vitro, and in silico data with systematic reviews of high-quality epidemiological studies to improve mixture risk assessment robustness. Furthermore, Hernández et al.[57] updated knowledge on pesticide mixture interactions, synthesizing findings from numerous studies (many involving animal models). They noted that metabolic process interactions affecting biotransformation represented the most common synergism mechanism for pesticide combinations (e.g., organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids).

Systematic Review Findings

A comprehensive systematic review by Martin et al.[58] analyzed 1220 mixture experiments over a 10-year period (2009-2019), examining a large dataset of published mixture studies (including many animal experiments) to identify trends in non-additive interactions. The analysis revealed that approximately two-thirds of studies incorporated only two components and relied primarily on low-cost assays. Important toxicity outcomes relevant for human risk assessment were rarely addressed. Strikingly, relatively few claims of synergistic or antagonistic effects exceeded acceptable between-study variability boundaries. In fact, most observed mixture doses were within a two-fold range of predicted additive doses. However, synergistic and antagonistic interactions were frequently reported across various chemical classes, biological systems, and endpoints. The review confirmed concerns about synergistic potential of triazine, azole, and pyrethroid pesticide combinations while identifying new evidence for endocrine disrupting chemicals and certain metal combinations, providing a valuable meta-perspective.

Discussion

The comprehensive body of evidence from experimental animal studies, as exemplified by the findings presented, converges on the definitive conclusion that the biological and toxicological consequences of concurrent chemical exposures are profoundly complex and frequently deviate from the simplistic assumption of straightforward additivity. This central tenet has been increasingly recognized and explored over decades of research[18][58]. While the principles of dose addition (or concentration addition) and independent action serve as essential theoretical anchors and default starting points[54][5], particularly for components believed to share a similar mode of action (MoA) as empirically supported in cases like carbamate studies[10] or for similarly acting toxicants in aquatic models[7], the substantial prevalence of observed synergistic interactions (e.g., mercury and uranium nephrotoxicity by Sánchez et al.[6], developmental toxicities by Colomina et al.[14] and Bellés et al.[11]), and antagonistic interactions consistently underscores the inherent limitations of relying solely on these idealized models, especially when dealing with dissimilar MoAs or complex biological feedback loops. The MoA of individual constituents is a critical determinant of the interaction profile[8][53]. Chemicals perturbing the same target are more predisposed to dose-additivity, yet toxicokinetic interactions (involving ADME alterations) can lead to non-additive outcomes, as demonstrated in metal mixtures (Bellés et al.[11]). Dissimilar MoAs increase the potential for unpredictable interactions.

Developmental stages (prenatal and early postnatal) represent periods of heightened vulnerability to chemical mixtures[11][12][13][14][15][56][16][35]. Notably, even low doses of individual components (below NOAELs) can collectively precipitate significant neurodevelopmental deficits or other adverse effects. Additionally, non-chemical stressors, such as maternal stress[13], can exacerbate these impacts.

Assessing low-dose, environmentally relevant mixtures is crucial[25][1]. Animal studies investigating such scenarios[12][35] are vital but demand meticulous design and sensitive endpoints. Importantly, relative proportions of components, not just absolute doses, influence outcomes[10].

Methodological advancements are fundamental to progress in this field. Physiologically-based toxicokinetic (PBTK) modeling[17] can predict internal target tissue concentrations and effectively account for kinetic interactions. Statistical approaches like the "unifying concept" by Gennings et al.[50] aid in characterizing interactions. Modern 'omics technologies and systems biology hold significant

promise for identifying biomarkers and elucidating pathways, potentially leading to Adverse Outcome Pathways (AOPs) for mixtures[22][59][4][3].

Despite these advances, formidable hurdles persist. The combinatorial complexity of environmental mixtures makes comprehensive empirical testing intractable, thereby mandating tiered testing strategies and predictive modeling. Extrapolation from animal models to humans carries significant uncertainties, which are amplified for complex mixtures. Regulatory frameworks often rely on default assumptions (e.g., dose additivity) that may not always be sufficiently protective[2]. Encouragingly, growing human epidemiological evidence[20][21] linking real-world mixture exposures to adverse outcomes underscores the public health relevance and effectively complements mechanistic insights from animal studies.

Conclusions and Future Directions

Experimental evidence on concurrent chemical exposures delineates a complex and dynamic landscape of mixture toxicity that frequently eludes accurate characterization by simple additive models, thereby underscoring the limitations of traditional risk assessment paradigms. While dose addition remains a reasonable default assumption for many mixture scenarios, significant deviations occur depending on chemical composition, exposure conditions, biological endpoints, and temporal factors. The development of mechanistically-based models incorporating toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic interactions represents the most promising approach for improving mixture risk assessment accuracy.

The vast corpus of evidence from diverse experimental animal studies converges upon the compelling conclusion that concurrent exposure to multiple chemical toxicants frequently leads to cumulative biological effects that are distinct from predictions based on simple summation of individual toxicities. Complex interactive phenomena such as synergism and antagonism are prevalent. These interactions are governed by factors including chemical identities, concentrations, modes of action, exposure timing, and organism characteristics, with early life stages being particularly vulnerable.

Future research priorities should focus on developing standardized methodologies for mixture testing, expanding studies to include environmentally relevant exposure scenarios, and investigating low-dose effects of complex mixtures. The integration of epidemiological evidence with experimental data will be crucial for validating laboratory findings and informing regulatory decision-making. Additionally, the role of individual susceptibility factors and concurrent environmental stressors (e.g., poor nutrition, psychosocial stress, and climate change-related factors) requires further investigation to develop

comprehensive mixture risk assessment frameworks that better reflect the multifaceted nature of real-world exposures.

Particularly important is the critical need for studies exploring the interplay between chemical mixtures and co-occurring non-chemical stressors. The regulatory implications of mixture toxicity research necessitate continued development of tiered assessment approaches that balance scientific rigor with practical resource constraints. The development, validation, and regulatory acceptance of tiered testing strategies, incorporating advanced in vitro high-throughput screening, alternative animal models (e.g., zebrafish, *C. elegans*), organ-on-a-chip technologies, and sophisticated computational tools, will be essential for prioritizing mixtures and reducing reliance on traditional animal testing. Of paramount importance is a deeper mechanistic understanding of temporal exposure dynamics, critical windows of susceptibility, and the potential for delayed-onset or transgenerational epigenetic effects, areas that remain insufficiently explored. The evidence supports the need for mixture-specific assessment strategies that consider both chemical and biological factors determining joint toxic action, effectively moving beyond traditional single-chemical paradigms toward more realistic exposure scenario evaluations.

In conclusion, sustained, innovative research utilizing appropriate models and cutting-edge technologies remains essential for unraveling mixture toxicity mechanisms, identifying priority mixtures, and developing robust regulatory tools to safeguard human and ecological health against the complex challenges of combined chemical exposures. The path forward requires interdisciplinary collaboration, continued methodological innovation, and sustained commitment to translating scientific insights into effective regulatory frameworks that protect public health.

Statements and Declarations

Data Availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current paper.

References

1. [a], [b], [c]*Goodson WH 3rd, Lowe L, Carpenter DO, Gilbertson M, Manaf Ali A, Lopez de Cerain Salsamendi A, et al. (2015). "Assessing the carcinogenic potential of low-dose exposures to chemical mixtures in the environment: the challenge ahead." Carcinogenesis. **36 Suppl 1**(Suppl 1):S254–96. doi:10.1093/carcin/bgv039.*

2. [a], [b], [c]*Hernández AF, Tsatsakis AM (2017). "Human exposure to chemical mixtures: Challenges for the integration of toxicology with epidemiology data in risk assessment." Food Chem Toxicol. **103**:188–193. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2017.03.012.*

3. [a], [b], [c]*Wilkinson CF, Christoph GR, Julien E, Kelley JM, Kronenberg J, McCarthy J, et al. (2000). "Assessing the risks of exposures to multiple chemicals with a common mechanism of toxicity: how to cumulate?" Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. **31**(1):30–43. doi:10.1006/rtph.1999.1361.*

4. [a], [b], [c], [d], [e]*Teuschler LK (2007). "Deciding which chemical mixtures risk assessment methods work best for what mixtures." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. **223**(2):139–47. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2006.07.010.*

5. [a], [b], [c]*Chen JJ, Chen YJ, Rice G, Teuschler LK, Hamernik K, Protzel A, et al. (2001). "Using dose addition to estimate cumulative risks from exposures to multiple chemicals." Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. **34**(1):35–41. doi:10.1006/rtph.2001.1485.*

6. [a], [b], [c], [d]*Sánchez DJ, Bellés M, Albina ML, Sirvent JJ, Domingo JL (2001). "Nephrotoxicity of simultaneous exposure to mercury and uranium in comparison to individual effects of these metals in rats." Biol Trace Elem Res. **84**(1-3):139–54. doi:10.1385/BTER:84:1-3:139.*

7. [a], [b], [c], [d]*Syberg K, Elleby A, Pedersen H, Cedergreen N, Forbes VE (2008). "Mixture toxicity of three toxicants with similar and dissimilar modes of action to Daphnia magna." Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. **69**(3):428–36. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.05.010.*

8. [a], [b], [c]*Borgert CJ, Quill TF, McCarty LS, Mason AM (2004). "Can mode of action predict mixture toxicity for risk assessment?" Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. **201**(2):85–96. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2004.05.005.*

9. [a], [b]*Løkke H, Ragas AM, Holmstrup M (2013). "Tools and perspectives for assessing chemical mixtures and multiple stressors." Toxicology. **313**(2-3):73–82. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2012.11.009.*

10. [a], [b], [c], [d], [e]*Moser VC, Padilla S, Simmons JE, Haber LT, Hertzberg RC (2012). "Impact of chemical proportions on the acute neurotoxicity of a mixture of seven carbamates in preweanling and adult rats." Toxicol Sci. **129***

(1):126–34. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfs190.

11. a, b, c, d, e, f*Bellés M, Albina ML, Sánchez DJ, Corbella J, Domingo JL (2002). "Interactions in developmental t oxicology: effects of concurrent exposure to lead, organic mercury, and arsenic in pregnant mice." Arch Envi ron Contam Toxicol. **42**(1):93–8. doi:10.1007/s002440010296.*

12. a, b, c, d*Bellés M, Heredia L, Serra N, Domingo JL, Linares V (2016). "Exposure to low doses of 137cesium and nicotine during postnatal development modifies anxiety levels, learning, and spatial memory performance in mice." Food Chem Toxicol. **97**:82–88. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2016.08.032.*

13. a, b, c, d*Colomina MT, Albina ML, Domingo JL, Corbella J (1997). "Influence of maternal stress on the effects of prenatal exposure to methylmercury and arsenic on postnatal development and behavior in mice: a preli minary evaluation." Physiol Behav. **61**(3):455–9. doi:10.1016/s0031-9384(96)00462-3.*

14. a, b, c, d*Colomina MT, Albina ML, Sanchez DJ, Domingo JL (2001). "Interactions in developmental toxicology: combined action of restraint stress, caffeine, and aspirin in pregnant mice." Teratology. **63**(3):144–51. doi:10.1 002/tera.1025.*

15. a, b, c*Dórea JG (2019a). "Environmental exposure to low-level lead (Pb) co-occurring with other neurotoxica nts in early life and neurodevelopment of children." Environ Res. **177**:108641. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.10864 1.*

16. a, b, c, d*Heredia L, Bellés M, Llovet MI, Domingo JL, Linares V (2015). "Neurobehavioral effects of concurrent exposure to cesium-137 and paraquat during neonatal development in mice." Toxicology. **329**:73–9. doi:10.1 016/j.tox.2015.01.012.*

17. a, b, c*Sasso AF, Isukapalli SS, Georgopoulos PG (2010). "A generalized physiologically-based toxicokinetic mo deling system for chemical mixtures containing metals." Theor Biol Med Model. **7**:17. doi:10.1186/1742-4682- 7-17.*

18. a, b, c*Boobis AR, Ossendorp BC, Banasiak U, Hamey PY, Sebestyen I, Moretto A (2008). "Cumulative risk asse ssment of pesticide residues in food." Toxicol Lett. **180**(2):137–50. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2008.06.004.*

19. a, b*Rice G, MacDonell M, Hertzberg RC, Teuschler L, Picel K, Butler J, et al. (2008). "An approach for assessing human exposures to chemical mixtures in the environment." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. **233**(1):126–36. doi:10. 1016/j.taap.2008.05.004.*

20. a, b, c*Chang Z, Qiu J, Wang K, Liu X, Fan L, Liu X, et al. (2023). "The relationship between co-exposure to mul tiple heavy metals and liver damage." J Trace Elem Med Biol. **77**:127128. doi:10.1016/j.jtemb.2023.127128.*

21. a, b, c*Yin G, Zhao S, Zhao M, Xu J, Ge X, Wu J, et al. (2024a). "Joint and interactive effects of metal mixtures on liver damage: Epidemiological evidence from repeated-measures study." Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. **274**:116178.*

doi:*10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.116178*.

22. <u>a</u>, <u>b</u>, <u>c</u>*Kassotis CD, Phillips AL (2023). "Complex Mixtures and Multiple Stressors: Evaluating Combined Chemical Exposures and Cumulative Toxicity." Toxics. **11**(6):487. doi:<u>10.3390/toxics11060487</u>.*

23. <u>^</u>*Dawson DA, Pöch G, Schultz TW (2006). "Chemical mixture toxicity testing with Vibrio fischeri: combined effects of binary mixtures for ten soft electrophiles." Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. **65**(2):171–80. doi:<u>10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.07.011</u>.*

24. <u>^</u>*Hertzberg RC, Pan Y, Li R, Haber LT, Lyles RH, Herr DW, et al. (2013). "A four-step approach to evaluate mixtures for consistency with dose addition." Toxicology. **313**(2-3):134–44. doi:<u>10.1016/j.tox.2012.10.016</u>.*

25. <u>a</u>, <u>b</u>*Leeman WR, Krul L, Houben GF (2013). "Complex mixtures: relevance of combined exposure to substances at low dose levels." Food Chem Toxicol. **58**:141–8. doi:<u>10.1016/j.fct.2013.03.050</u>.*

26. <u>^</u>*Gallagher SS, Rice GE, Scarano LJ, Teuschler LK, Bollweg G, Martin L (2015). "Cumulative risk assessment lessons learned: a review of case studies and issue papers." Chemosphere. **120**:697–705. doi:<u>10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.10.030</u>.*

27. <u>^</u>*Domingo JL, Domingo A, Colomina MT (2004). "Influence of maternal stress on metal-induced pre- and postnatal effects in mammals: a review." Biol Trace Elem Res. **98**(3):193–208. doi:<u>10.1385/bter:98:3:193</u>.*

28. <u>^</u>*Torrente M, Colomina MT, Domingo JL (2005). "Behavioral effects of adult rats concurrently exposed to high doses of oral manganese and restraint stress." Toxicology. **211**(1-2):59–69. doi:<u>10.1016/j.tox.2005.02.010</u>.*

29. <u>^</u>*Linares V, Sánchez DJ, Bellés M, Albina L, Gómez M, Domingo JL (2007). "Pro-oxidant effects in the brain of rats concurrently exposed to uranium and stress." Toxicology. **236**(1-2):82–91. doi:<u>10.1016/j.tox.2007.04.001</u>.*

30. <u>^</u>*Fuentes S, Colomina MT, Rodriguez J, Vicens P, Domingo JL (2006). "Interactions in developmental toxicology: concurrent exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and stress in pregnant mice." Toxicol Lett. **164**(1):81–9. doi:<u>10.1016/j.toxlet.2005.11.013</u>.*

31. <u>^</u>*Fuentes S, Colomina MT, Vicens P, Domingo JL (2007a). "Concurrent exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate and restraint stress during pregnancy in mice: effects on postnatal development and behavior of the offspring." Toxicol Sci. **98**(2):589–98. doi:<u>10.1093/toxsci/kfm121</u>.*

32. <u>^</u>*Fuentes S, Colomina MT, Vicens P, Domingo JL (2007b). "Influence of maternal restraint stress on the long-lasting effects induced by prenatal exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in mice." Toxicol Lett. **171**(3):162–70. doi:<u>10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.05.006</u>.*

33. <u>^</u>*Ribes D, Fuentes S, Torrente M, Colomina MT, Domingo JL (2010). "Combined effects of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and maternal restraint stress on hypothalamus adrenal axis (HPA) function in the offspring of mice." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. **243**(1):13–8. doi:<u>10.1016/j.taap.2009.11.001</u>.*

34. ^Jonker D, Freidig AP, Groten JP, de Hollander AE, Stierum RH, Woutersen RA, et al. (2004). "Safety evaluati on of chemical mixtures and combinations of chemical and non-chemical stressors." Rev Environ Health. *19* (2):83–139. doi:10.1515/reveh.2004.19.2.83.

35. a, b, cHeredia L, Bellés M, LLovet MI, Domingo JL, Linares V (2016). "Behavioral effects in mice of postnatal e xposure to low-doses of 137-cesium and bisphenol A." Toxicology. *340*:10–6. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2015.12.002.

36. a, bHowdeshell KL, Hotchkiss AK, Gray LE Jr (2017). "Cumulative effects of antiandrogenic chemical mixture s and their relevance to human health risk assessment." Int J Hyg Environ Health. *220*(2 Pt A):179–188. doi:1 0.1016/j.ijheh.2016.11.007.

37. a, bConley JM, Lambright CS, Evans N, Medlock-Kakaley E, Dixon A, Hill D, et al. (2022). "Cumulative mater nal and neonatal effects of combined exposure to a mixture of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluoro octane sulfonic acid (PFOS) during pregnancy in the Sprague-Dawley rat." Environ Int. *170*:107631. doi:10.10 16/j.envint.2022.107631.

38. a, bBarata C, Baird DJ, Nogueira AJ, Soares AM, Riva MC (2006). "Toxicity of binary mixtures of metals and pyrethroid insecticides to Daphnia magna Straus. Implications for multi-substance risks assessment." Aqua t Toxicol. *78*(1):1–14. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.01.013.

39. a, bBarata C, Baird DJ, Nogueira AJ, Agra AR, Soares AM (2007). "Life-history responses of Daphnia magna S traus to binary mixtures of toxic substances: pharmacological versus ecotoxicological modes of action." Aqu at Toxicol. *84*(4):439–49. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.07.004.

40. ^Barata C, Solayan A, Porte C (2004). "Role of B-esterases in assessing toxicity of organophosphorus (chlor pyrifos, malathion) and carbamate (carbofuran) pesticides to Daphnia magna." Aquat Toxicol. *66*(2):125–3 9. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2003.07.004.

41. a, bPavlaki MD, Pereira R, Loureiro S, Soares AM (2011). "Effects of binary mixtures on the life traits of Daph nia magna." Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. *74*(1):99–110. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.07.010.

42. a, bMaloney EM, Morrissey CA, Headley JV, Peru KM, Liber K (2017). "Cumulative toxicity of neonicotinoid i nsecticide mixtures to Chironomus dilutus under acute exposure scenarios." Environ Toxicol Chem. *36*(11):3 091–3101. doi:10.1002/etc.3878.

43. a, bMaloney EM, Morrissey CA, Headley JV, Peru KM, Liber K (2018). "Can chronic exposure to imidacloprid, clothianidin, and thiamethoxam mixtures exert greater than additive toxicity in Chironomus dilutus?" Ecot oxicol Environ Saf. *156*:354–365. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.03.003.

44. ^Huang R, Pan H, Zhou M, Jin J, Ju Z, Ren G, et al. (2021). "Potential liver damage due to co-exposure to As, C d, and Pb in mining areas: Association analysis and research trends from a Chinese perspective." Environ R

es. 201:111598. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.111598.

45. ^*Yin G, Zhao S, Zhao M, Xu J, Ge X, Wu J, et al. (2024b). "Complex interplay of heavy metals and renal injury: New perspectives from longitudinal epidemiological evidence." Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 278:116424. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.116424.*

46. ^*Sprinkle RH, Payne-Sturges DC (2021). "Mixture toxicity, cumulative risk, and environmental justice in United States federal policy, 1980-2016: Why, with much known, was little done?" Environ Health. 20(1):104. doi:10.1186/s12940-021-00764-5.*

47. ^*Haruna I, Obeng-Gyasi E (2024). "Association of Combined Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances and Metals with Chronic Kidney Disease." Int J Environ Res Public Health. 21(4):468. doi:10.3390/ijerph21040468.*

48. ^*Itoh M, Kobayashi S, Iwata H, Ait Bamai Y, Ketema RM, Yamaguchi T, et al. (2025). "Impact of prenatal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances on developmental delays in 4-year-old children: The Japan Environment and Children's study." Environ Int. 198:109434. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2025.109434.*

49. ^*Payne-Sturges D, De Saram S, Cory-Slechta DA (2023). "Cumulative Risk Evaluation of Phthalates Under TSCA." Environ Sci Technol. 57(16):6403–6414.*

50. a, b*Gennings C, Carter WH Jr, Carchman RA, Teuschler LK, Simmons JE, Carney EW (2005). "A unifying concept for assessing toxicological interactions: changes in slope." Toxicol Sci. 88(2):287–97. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfi275.*

51. ^*El-Masri HA (2007). "Experimental and mathematical modeling methods for the investigation of toxicological interactions." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 223(2):148–54. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2006.07.009.*

52. ^*Hao W, Cathey AL, Aung MM, Boss J, Meeker JD, Mukherjee B (2025). "Statistical methods for chemical mixtures: a roadmap for practitioners using simulation studies and a sample data analysis in the PROTECT cohort." Environ Health Perspect. doi:10.1289/EHP15305.*

53. a, b*Lambert JC, Lipscomb JC (2007). "Mode of action as a determining factor in additivity models for chemical mixture risk assessment." Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 49(3):183–94. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2007.07.002.*

54. a, b*Bosgra S, van Eijkeren JC, Slob W (2009). "Dose addition and the isobole method as approaches for predicting the cumulative effect of non-interacting chemicals: a critical evaluation." Crit Rev Toxicol. 39(5):418–26. doi:10.1080/10408440902787592.*

55. ^*Dórea JG (2019b). "Multiple low-level exposures: Hg interactions with co-occurring neurotoxic substances in early life." Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj. 1863(12):129243. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.10.015.*

56. a, b, c*Dórea JG (2020). "Neurotoxic effects of combined exposures to aluminum and mercury in early life (infancy)." Environ Res. 188:109734. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109734.*

57. ^Hernández AF, Gil F, Lacasaña M (2017). "Toxicological interactions of pesticide mixtures: an update." Arc
     h Toxicol. **91**(10):3211–3223. doi:10.1007/s00204-017-2043-5.

58. a, bMartin O, Scholze M, Ermler S, McPhie J, Bopp SK, Kienzler A, et al. (2021). "Ten years of research on syne
     rgisms and antagonisms in chemical mixtures: A systematic review and quantitative reappraisal of mixture
     studies." Environ Int. **146**:106206. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106206.

59. ^Solomon KR, Wilks MF, Bachman A, Boobis A, Moretto A, Pastoor TP, et al. (2016). "Problem formulation fo
     r risk assessment of combined exposures to chemicals and other stressors in humans." Crit Rev Toxicol. **46**(1
     0):835–844. doi:10.1080/10408444.2016.1211617.

Declarations