Review of: "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Inflation on Unemployment in Nigeria"

Rezgar Mohammed[1]

1 University of Dohuk (Kurdistan Region)

Dear author. Please consider these comments to improve the manuscript.

Introduction: The problem and its justification are written very well, but the study's contribution to the existing literature needs more work as the current one is not convincing. The author needs to explain clearly what has already been done in this regard and the gap in the literature that he or she needs to fill. This will add significance to the analysis.

Empirical Literature: It is nice to see that the author used updated studies in this section, and these studies are divided into two strands. Despite reporting these studies, the author needs to choose some related articles and analyze them by providing the disadvantages or limitations of those studies and the need to conduct his or her study.

Methodology: For Equation (1), introduce your variables by writing them first and then mentioning what they stand for. For example, first mention your "interior" variable and then define it. The null hypothesis of the Wald test is H0: $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = \vartheta_3 = \vartheta_4 = \vartheta_5 = 0$, but in Equation (5) you do not have these parameters.

Results and Discussion: Please provide summary statistics of the variables used. Why ADF and not the DF-GLS unit root test? In the Presentation of ARDL Regression Results section, the author talks about the first and second objectives of the study. Where are the first and second objectives of this study in the Introduction section? I think BIC is stronger than AIC. Why use AIC? Please explain. Figs 3 and 4 in the text should be written as Figures 3 and 4. Overall, there is only results reporting in this section without providing any discussion of what their results mean.

Conclusion: Does the study have any limitations? What is the extension of the study for future research?