

Review of: "Determining When Schrödinger's Cats Die"

Michele Renda¹

¹ CERN

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, I do not have the right competence to properly peer-review this article.

The points that, according to me, are unclear:

1. Why do you choose a triangular transition probability in Fig. 1 ? There is no specific reason why the decay probability has this shape. It should be made clear in the text if it is just for exemplification reasons.
2. In an actual decay experiment, there is no certainty that after $t_{1/2}$ hours, the atom has performed a decay (for this reason, we speak of half-life, when half of the samples have completed a transition. After the first hour, the situation is again like the beginning (with only half of the atoms).
3. Fig.1 is the decay probability or the cumulative decay probability? (a cumulative decay probability, e.g., the likelihood that the atom has performed a decay, is a monotonically non-decreasing function, so I assume it is not the case). However, a non-cumulative decay probability (the probability that the decay happens precisely at a given time) is quite tricky to define: it should be better explained in the text to be clear what is representing fig. 1.
4. The conclusion seems to be quite rushed. I believe an article should also have a teaching value for someone with good knowledge about the area but not the specific topic. The conclusion seems quite synthetic and needs to be clarified.