

Review of: "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

Ali Madina Dankumo¹

1 Federal University Kashere

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The life expectancy discussed in the background should be updated to that of at least 2022 instead of stopping at 2011
- 2. Similarly, all the discussions of quantitative values in the background have no sources; hence, provide them.
- 3. Healthcare expenditure should be updated to 2022.
- 4. Literature review should be updated to 2022 because most of it is outdated.
- 5. The theory adopted in the study is more about expenditures than health outcomes; hence, an appropriate theory should be sought which basically relates health outcomes and economic growth, since health outcome is the major independent variable of interest, not health expenditures.
- 6. Empirical literature needs to be updated to at least 2022.
- 7. Empirical framework for the study needs to be provided.
- 8. Whose model is this study following, and who could have used the relevant theory that encompasses health outcome?
- 9. The correlation between CHE and IOM seems to be very high, i.e., 94%; don't you think there will be a problem of multicollinearity?
- 10. For the estimation results, the long-run results should be discussed first before those of the short run.
- 11. Similarly, check the headings in table 7; it's like the results were exchanged between the short run and the long run.
- 12. There are no conclusions in the work, only recommendations, so you need to provide that.