

Review of: "Towards Responsible AI-Assisted Scholarship: Comparative Assessment of Generative Models and Adoption Recommendations"

Muhammad Ali¹

¹ Institute of Management Sciences, Peshawar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is quite interesting since large language models are affecting almost all fields of science and education. Most of the things are already identified by other reviewers, however, I have a couple of issues/observations.

1. Why "Claude, LaMDA, Sydney and Galactica" are selected and why GPT-4 is not one of them? We know that GPT is in the lead and the rest are still not at par with it.
2. Literature Review seems too casual – non-technical style. It should mention technical details from the references used in this paper.