

Review of: "Road Safety Perceptions and Practices among Undergraduate Medical Students"

Mojtaba Norouzi¹

¹ Kerman University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is good, but I would recommend major revisions of this paper.

Introduction:

Explain the necessity and reason for conducting the study in considerable detail.

Method:

Please express about eligibility criteria.

The method for calculating sample size should be thoroughly explained.

Is the questionnaire designed by the researcher or is it standard? Various aspects of the questionnaire should be discussed. The type of question options, scoring method, and mention of the questionnaire's validity and reliability are all important and necessary.

Observations:

The method of reporting the results is ineffective. It is preferable to report descriptive statistics first, followed by analytical statistics. The main findings should be reported, followed by a single reference to the tables.

The text is hard to follow.

It is necessary to review the observations.

Discussion:

The main findings of the research should be presented in the first paragraph and then discussed separately.

Explain why there were so few participants in comparison to the sample size.

To what extent can people's failure to respond affect the outcome? Explain about potential biases, such as response bias.

Comparing the results to other studies is insufficient for discussion. A more detailed explanation is required.

Describe the study's limitations.



It is necessary to review the discussion.