

# Review of: "Death needs, culture and emotional death proximity: Keys to intervene in social discrimination"

Noor-ul-ain Haider<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bahria University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review on Article

**Death needs, culture and emotional death proximity: Keys to intervene in social discrimination**

**By Mel Stiller**

Comments and Suggestions

- **Abstract**

A crude explanation is given, it took a while to understand the concepts mentioned in abstract. Remember, abstract is a reflection of your work. It's better to avoid personal opinions or use of "I" word in abstract instead use Research language with evidence.

- **Introduction**

Need to improve the introductory paragraphs. Go for explanation of death valence and social discrimination. Why it is important, so that reader can grab the interest in your work. Don't start with different theories immediately, you need to build a momentum to introduce previous theoretical aspects. The summary paragraph should state the importance again for this article by avoiding the words of "I".

- **Death Needs**

Introduce it first, then go for background and finding. Good literature is discussed here. Please look for correct references.

- **Death Culture**

Again introduction of death culture should be discussed first, followed by theoretical background. Good literature is discussed here, again please look for correct references. Avoid using "I" words in these types of articles instead give conclusion on theoretical background.

- **Emotional Death Proximity**

Introduction of concept should be given first.

- **Conclusion**

Adequate conclusion is given.

- **Limitations**

Please avoid using question marks in limitations. Give a strong limitation instead of putting question marks.

- **Future Research**

It's better to merge limitations and future research directions for solution oriented approach in paragraph form by avoiding questions.