

Review of: "Quality improvement and research differences: A guide for DNP and PhD faculty"

Patricia Kamanga

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer's comments

The paper is well written, however the following areas need to be revised

The abstract should be revised. The ideas should flow / coherent.

The main objective need to be clearly stated.

Punctuation marks should be properly placed throughout the paper. Just a few examples remove colon on sentence "this requires competence in Knowledge Such as:"

Grammatical errors should be rectified.

Avoid using redundant words for example "new discoveries" you can remove new. "In order to" just use "to". "The context of". "Time period".

Avoid using 'this is' at the beginning of the sentence. This 'what?'

Some sentences are very long, need to be splitted into two sentence.

Remove 'is a' and 'that' in the sentence beginning with "in short this is a positive review.