

Review of: "Perceptions and Experiences of Human Right Violations of People Living with Mental Illness: A multi-centre descriptive cross-sectional study in Nigeria"

Mohammed Saif Anaam

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments

Method:

Comment 1

The participants were also informed of the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences.

I believe this statement is typically used in the context of clinical trials or prospective studies, but may not be applicable or suitable for observational studies, such as cross-sectional studies.

Results:

Comment 2

In Table 4, both the 1st and 2nd columns have "A." Which column represents individuals who agree and strongly agree?

How does the author calculate the mean score for each question?

Are there both positive and negative questions in the assessment of perception?

In other words, are there some questions scored as positive questions (SA=4, A=3, D=2 and SD=1) and some scored as negative questions (SA=1, A=2, D=3 and SD=4)

It is great if the author mention something about this in the method.

Discussion

Comment 3

(for example, 11) I think all studies should be listed here not only no 11.

Comment 4

The author should mention limitation and strengths of the study at least for cross-sectional design.

