

Review of: "Collaborative Intelligence: A scoping review of current applications"

Edgar Ramos¹

1 Ericsson (Finland)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article focus quite a lot on the methodology to survey the applications that are truly collaborative between humans and Als. The criteria used is well documented and motivated. My main feedback is the lack of deeper analysis of the found applications. The current text focuses quite a lot in the benefits and how the criteria was cover and the novelty of the results, but there is very little about each of the applications limitations, their inception and implementation (type of Al, training needed if any, and execution environment). On the limitations it would be good to understand what the Al is not capable to do, and better understanding of why they cannot work without a human (in contrast to the current approach which explains how a human benefits only). There is some text related to this in the discussion, but i think the paper would benefit from a case by case analysis.

Qeios ID: PC8KW2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/PC8KW2