

Review of: "Factors influencing women's decision choosing a public or private health facility for tuberculosis (TB) services: An analysis of Nepal demographic and health survey data 2016"

Arsalan Khan¹

¹ INFN Sezione di Milano Bicocca

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract: The abstract gives a succinct summary of the research, although it might be more in-depth. A brief summary of the study's main conclusions and implications should be included. This will make it easier for readers to understand the research's primary contributions quickly.

Methodology: The methodology section makes it clear where the data came from and what variables were used. It does not, however, include information regarding the sampling plan, the data collection procedure, or any potential study limitations. The transparency and credibility of the study might be improved by including information on these factors.

Research Gap and Importance: The literature on women's healthcare-seeking behaviour for TB services in Nepal or other comparable contexts is not directly discussed in the paper. It would be beneficial to highlight the research gap and the importance of the current study by giving a quick summary of other investigations, including their results and limitations.

Methodology: In order to provide a more thorough analysis and interpretation of the results, the discussion section should be extended. The ramifications of the study's findings in relation to Nepal's larger healthcare system could be covered in the publication. Exist any consequences for policy? Based on the data, are there any specific interventions that might be suggested?