

Review of: "Covid-19 vaccine uptake and its associated factors among rural households in The Gambia: a community-based cross-sectional study"

Cristiane Costa da Cunha Oliveira

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title: No considerations are appropriated to the paper. **Resume** - It has been well written with most of the necessary details. In conclusion, it should include how the association of the factors gender, ethnicity, and educational level has been. **Introduction** - It has been well written and included articles that described the local context. The justification seems to be adequate for this aim. **Methodology** - It has included all the points to consider, study setting and design, population, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size determination, sampling procedure, study variables, data collection, data analysis, ethical considerations. **Results** - It has been well written, although we recommend including the year date in the titles of tables and figures. In Table 1, the variable marital status is incomplete; there are no married people included. **Discussion** - We suggest discussing a little bit more about why, after variable adjustment, males have more chances for vaccine uptake than females. There were more female participants who received the vaccine. We also recommend explaining more about the other variables and what should be done in planning for health programs. **Conclusion** - We recommend some considerations for the conclusion, as it has shown a low Covid-19 vaccine uptake in the region, but didn't include how these factors were associated with the dependent variable. Finally, it is clear and publishable after attending to considerations.