

Review of: "What are we like, is it a matter of genetic inheritance or not?"

Andrew K. May¹

¹ University of Surrey

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Dr. Mariano,

Thank you for your efforts in putting this article together. The subject matter is one of great interest to scholars, covering a broad range of academic disciplines. I note that many others have reviewed your article already, highlighting issues with grammar, correct reporting of methods, and general structure (inter alia), which I will not reiterate here, as I believe you are already busy with revisions to this work. I can only offer two further comments for you to consider as you continue to develop this article:

- The article lacks a clear and coherent narrative. The information and arguments presented tend to be highly fragmented and spread thinly across multiple disciplines (psychology, physiology, genetics, etc.), so it is difficult for the reader to appreciate what contribution your article attempts to make.
- I would strongly encourage you to consult Pluess and Belsky's (2011) paper titled "Prenatal programming of postnatal plasticity?" (doi:10.1017/S0954579410000623), which has the same underpinning rationale as your article and highlights the breadth of work in this area of investigation. More recent articles on prenatal programming and the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) may also provide inspiration for how to strengthen the academic rigour of your manuscript.

I hope these comments are useful and sufficiently distinct from those of other reviewers of this work.

Best wishes for revising your article!

Kind regards,

Andrew