

## Review of: "Collective Córregos Da Tiririca- Restoration of Riparian Forest in a Stream Contributor of Itaipu Lagoon – Niterói- RJ"

Claudia Bita-Nicolae1

1 Institute of Biology Bucharest, Romanian Academy

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

After reading the whole manuscript very carefully, I can say that it cannot be published as a scientific article.

It is described as very good, but also very hard work, and I congratulate everyone for what they did. But it does not meet the standards of a scientific article.

The abstract needs to be redone. It should briefly include, in addition to information about the aims, the method used, results, and conclusions.

The description of the study site is the first paragraph of the introduction. It should be moved to the next section, Methodology.

Other studies on the same topic should be mentioned in the introduction.

Methodology

The names of the species are written in italics.

All material relating to the study area should be presented in one section, not so scattered at the beginning of the manuscript, at the beginning of this section, and in the middle of it.

Results: I am afraid the environment is not a result, so move this paragraph to the previous section.

There is too much I can't comment on because there are no results, but only a description of the work done. Very good anyway; no one can dispute that.

Discussion and Conclusions: This part is well written.