

Review of: "Analyzing the Effects of Organic Amendments on Soil Erosion Dynamics: A Comprehensive Study on Application Methods and Timing"

Asenso Evans¹

1 University of Ghana

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript seems interesting; however, the authors need to work on the below and possibly do a resubmission. However, **REJECT** in its current state.

- 1. The title of the manuscript needs to be modified: Effects of organic amendments on soil dynamics: Application method and timing to reflect the study.
- 2. The Abstract is poorly written. There should be a great emphasis on the methodology adopted; treatments used, important findings not well detailed, conclusion and recommendation missing.
- 3. Materials and Methods poorly written. Experimental design not well presented; description of determinant characteristics not well presented. No information on initial/pre-soil condition of the experimental field. Treatments adopt presentation is inconsistent. Application method adopted presented in the write-up different from flowchart. Inconsistent presentation in the materials and methods section.
- 4. Experiment design should be presented precisely/accurately for future replications, as it is poorly presented in its current state.
- 5. Figures in the materials and methods section poorly presented.
- 6. Check spellings of amendment in the flowchart.
- 7. Results poorly written and interpretation poorly presented.
- 8. Error bars should be presented in the figures in the results section.
- 9. The whole manuscript is poorly presented and organized in its current state.
- 10. The manuscript should be **REJECTED** in its current state. However, authors can work on the suggested comments and do a resubmission to go through the review process again.
- 11. Attached is the reviewed manuscript with some track changes.