

Review of: "The Disruption of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Farm Produce and its Implications for the Future of Food Security - A review"

Catherine Macombe

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for your work : "The disruption of the Covid-19 pandemic on farm produce and its food security- a review"

The paper should be completely reorganised in its parts and reworked.

Depending on the title of the submitted paper, the following contents are expected to be found by the readers:

1. An introduction:

The introduction discusses the interest of the topic, explains the context, defines the terms used (disruption/farm produce/food security) and leads to the general research question, which will justify the topic of the literature review. Here, this general question could be: « what has been the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on farm produce and food security? » (in the World ?)

1. Methods

The "methods" section explains in detail how you will contribute to the research question. In this case, the aim is to find evidence of the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on farm produce and food security.

The first step is to say that you are going to conduct a literature review on the subject.

The second step is to explain how this literature review is going to be carried out: which search engines are used (and why)? What are the keywords used to search for texts (and in which languages)? Are the keywords searched for in titles, summaries or full-texts? How can the texts identified by the search be sorted and classified?

The third step provides a means (e.g. an interpretation grid) that will help to analyse the texts selected in the previous step. Indeed, it is necessary to show the readers what we are looking for in each text and what criteria we use to affirm that we have found it. The grid can be very simple, for example here:

the text states that the Covid-19 Pandemic had a positive/negative impact on :

Farm produce (yes/no), why ?

Food security (yes/no), why ?

1. Results

In the "Results" section, the results of the literature review search are first indicated (often in tables and a diagram). How many of these texts came from the search? How many were eliminated? retained and read? etc.

For the texts retained and analysed, the results of the analysis carried out according to the grid described in the "methods" paragraph are given here. They are presented in tables (if there are many) or in a paragraph if there are few.

1. Discussion- Recommendations- Conclusion

This part is reserved for :

- to take a step back from the raw results. Once again, reference is made to the literature (other than that used in the review) to compare the results obtained with what other authors say.

- to the contributions made by the submitted paper, from the point of view of science, and from the point of view of decision-making (public or private).

It is important here to "loop back" to the research question, i.e. to see if and how the submitted paper has contributed to answering this research question.

It is therefore here that recommendations can be found, which must be directly linked to and justified by the analysis of the results.

- The conclusion: this is a brief summary of what has been done and the main results. This may include an opening for possible future work.