

Peer Review

Review of: "A Philosophical Paradox of Economic Theory"

Dany Lang¹

1. ACT, Université Paris Nord (Paris XIII), France

This is an interesting paper, and I do like the first part and the conclusion. However:

1. It seems to me that the second paragraph, the one about “the paradox”, should really be developed.
This is the core of the paper, and it is much too short and lacks argumentation.
2. The “philosophical” aspect of the paradox needs argumentation, i.e., a few references to the philosophy of science/epistemology.
3. The whole paper does as if there were only one paradigm in economics. Of course, there is a mainstream one – and most criticisms here apply to the mainstream. There are alternative paradigms, however, in which crises are not surprises (Marxist, Post-Keynesian, neo-Schumpeterian, etc ...). This should be clarified.
4. On the whole, my verdict is that this paper is worth being published, after a few revisions along the lines suggested above.
- 5.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.