

Review of: "Evolution and Challenges of DDR: A Policy Review Through the Prism of Colombia's Three-Generational Experience"

Maman Ali M. Moustapha

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title should be a summarized view of the entire review. First of all, you need to write a title that reflects the main focus of your work. It is important to have a well-formatted title page that clearly represents your paper. The main idea of the paper is original. The originality of the work is impressive and highly relevant. However, there are gaps to be addressed to improve the quality of this work. Address the same issue and show how this study fits within the literature. The theoretical background needs to add more analysis channels to determine the evolution and challenges of DDR: A Policy Review Through the Prism of Colombia's Three-Generational Experience.

You can provide more details about the papers you are citing. For several papers, the authors just describe what they are doing rather than engaging with their findings and saying how they are relevant to the present study. The novelty of the work is not clearly stated. The literature section needs to be improved by discussing similar studies that have used the same method or different methods to address the same issue and show how this study fits within this literature. The theoretical background needs to add more analysis channels.

The technicality of the language is adequate; however, there are some deficiencies in clarity of expression and readability of the work. The claims of novelty are poorly presented and not convincing. The novelty of the work is not clearly stated. The major concern about this manuscript is the lack of clear novelties and motivations, even in the concluding remarks and policy implications. An academic paper without a clear motivation and novelty cannot catch the eyes of readers and scholars.

It would be useful to mention the limitations of the study more explicitly.