

Review of: "Does Philosophy Matter? The Urgent Need for a Philosophical Revolution"

Jesus Zamora Bonilla¹

¹ Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Though I deeply disagree with the epistemological and metaphysical assumptions on which the argument is presented, I admit that the argument is relevant enough for ensuring the publication of the article, and my own criticisms to its foundations should be better left for a response paper, rather than for a review. So my recommendation is to publish the article. My only substantial concerns are the following two clarification points, that I would appreciate it if the authors takes it into account in a (minimally) revised version.

In the first place, it is not clear why what the author says that academic philosophers should be producing is not a species of 'knowledge' (after all, it should be something that could be written in the form of a series of propositions).

In the second place, I think the author's argument is more generally one against the way all academic research is done now, than about specifically philosophical research. So I would appreciate it if the author clarifies more explicitly why its argument refers in particular to what philosophers (and not, say, economists, geologists or tokarian philologists) do themselves.