

# Review of: "Research & Evaluation Framework 2022/23"

Albena Vutsova

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The proposed work is dedicated to Technology Enabled care (TEC) Cymru's research. It is narrowly limited as an evaluation activity in a particular sector. The sections are to a certain extent well balanced, although the 4th section should be more detailed.

In the part "Why use a research and Evaluation framework", it is recommended to indicate other activities, objects of the intervention.

Historically, the presented statement is not entirely accurate, unless it is explicitly stated that it is only about the public health sector.

Regarding the definition of Research and service evaluation - this part needs to be supplemented. There are also various definitions for evaluation and it is recommendable to adopt a working definition for the purpose of this work.

Regarding Quality improvement, this part is well presented, although risk assessment and degree of risk should be added to the listed principles.

The two methodologies, PSDA and co-design, are well presented.

Regarding the measurement of QI and the 3 tools mentioned, there are other additional tools that can be informatively indicated, and to justify why only these three are preferred.

TEC Cymru's model is being implemented in 4 phases, only three are elaborated, with a fourth phase not being commented.

The PPI part is reasonable, but the process of PPI involvement is lacks feedback as a follow up of monitoring and analysis, which could have a positive effect upon the project progress.