

Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Fazal Ur Rehman¹

¹ East Bohemian Museum in Pardubice

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review Report

Dear Editor

Thank you very much for giving me an opportunity to review this interesting manuscript. In my view, the topic seems interesting and can be published after addressing these comments. The manuscript needs to be properly managed as per the professional structure.

Abstract:

The abstract needs further modification and perfection. The authors need to revise the abstract and clearly state the aim of the study, methodology, findings, implications, and originality in more precise manners.

Introduction:

In my opinion, the authors need to further improve the introduction. The authors should start from the broader context and highlight the importance of the topic.

Secondly, the authors need to clarify the problem statement, research gaps, call for research, and must be supported with the most recent references. At the moment, the problem statement has some weaknesses.

Third, the authors should focus to precisely enlist the contribution and significance of the study. I suggest the authors should take guidance from the following studies:

<https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-11-2022-0582>

<https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2022-0212>

Literature Review.

The authors should focus on the most relevant theories...to clarify the theoretical gap...I suggest the authors should draw a table and enlist the relevant studies, their background theories, and methodological procedures.

The authors also need to show a clear relationship among the hypothesis constructs....The authors can get guidance from the following studies:

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-07-2021-0231>

Methodology

The authors need to clarifywhy they used this method.....Please, clearly state the research approach, the population/parameters of the study, sample size, and sampling procedure; these need more precise clarification and solid reasoning.The authors need to improve the methodological procedure.

The authors can get guidance from the following articles:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-30956-0>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29928-1>

Results

Results need to be described to the point...and in a more clear manner...and must be supported with logical reasonings.

Discussion

Discussion and conclusion should be separated.

The authors need to further improve the discussion of the study.....each proposed relationship must be validated with the most relevant and recent references in a separate manner.

Implications – the authors should align the implications with results.....and theoretical settings.

Conclusion – It should be written in a more concise way....and needs to add some more perfect future directions.....The authors should merge the conclusion, limitations, and future directions.

The authors should seek the help of experts for proofreading.