

Review of: "Women In Slum Risking Their Safety To Access And Usage Of Basic Water And Sanitation Facilities"

Iftikhar Hussain Adil¹

1 National University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have read the paper titled "Women In Slum Risking Their Safety To Access And Usage Of Basic Water And Sanitation Facilities" carefully for the publication purpose. researches have tried their best to write a good paper on a burning issue of slum areas in different countries. My observations are as follows.

- 1. Study area must be mentioned in abstract which is missing in the under review document.
- 2. In introduction, researchers have not specified the study area. Also problem has been identified in the introduction but objective is missing. It should be clearly written what researcher wants to do.
- 3. Literature review seems insufficient. Few studies has been cited by the researchers. Also the latest studies are just two (2020)
- 4. How sample size is calculated
- 5. How locations are identified. I mean what is sampling methodology is missing.
- 6. Whether the selected sites are comparable, most probably they are not, so how may be done in comparison
- 7. Total Sample size reported is 6663 from different cities of eleven countries and one country (impriChinchwad, Navi Mumbai and Thane) includes the observations 5531. So justification is needed for this.
- 8. No specific methodology is used to analyze the data. Data may be analyzed in differnt angles with statistical test.

 Researchers has provided just a graph and presenting results on the basis of a graph is injustice with research itself.
- 9. It is unclear that, how these results are drawn from the data when it is not properly analyzed. Statements of females given in the results gives an impression that authors have collected data themself from different slums of different countries which is not feasible.
- 10. Suggestions are good but the are irrelevant from the results of the study. I appreciate the effort researchers put into this manuscript; however, after careful review this paper is not acceptable for publication in its current form. So consider my decision as REJECTION

Qeios ID: Q0RE47 · https://doi.org/10.32388/Q0RE47