

# Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Atubiga Alobit Baba

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

### **COMMENTS FROM THE:**

Review of the Article Titled: Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines

Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus

Authors: Ozen Asut, Songul Vaizoglu, Gulifeiya Abuduxike, Sanda Cali

#### Abstract:

In the method section, the authors used the phrase..*The differences between the groups were evaluated using the chi square test.* It appeared the authors were conducting a comparative study. The authors could kindly check that sentence.

## Method:

- i. The authors indicated that they employed a descriptive cross sectional study design for the study. There should be a **HEADING TITLED**: **Study design** that will specifically look at the study design.
- ii. I think the use of descriptive cross sectional study design isnot appropriate for the study. Considering that the exposures and outcomes variables were simultaneously measured at the study same time. Hence analytical cross sectional study would have been more appropriate.

# Sampling Method

The authors employed convenience sampling method. This sampling method is often used in qualitative studies. Could the authors have employed a quantitative sampling method?

## **Outcome Measurement**

The authors could kindly check to reconcile what they have put as dependent variables and outcome measurement.

# Pre test of questionnaire

The authors could kindly tell the reader whether the people used for the pilot study were the same participants used for the main work or were different people.



#### Ethics Issues

Authors should provide the Institutional ethics compliance approval number.

#### **RESULTS**

## Demographic data

- Under the educational status, could the authors explain below the table, any institution that is higher than a UNIVERSITY. Since it is university and above.
- The use of CHI SQAURE ALONE could not establish a strong association between the variables assessed in the study.
- The perception and Intention of participants did not come out clearly in the analysis.

#### CONCLUSION

Authors should kindly make sure that the percentages captured in the conclusion should be removed.

IMPRESSION: Authors could consider these suggestions to make the article excellent for reading

#### **ATUBIGA ALOBIT BABA**

# **Tamale Technical University**

# **Ghana, West AfricaCOMMENTS FROM THE:**

Review of the Article Titled: Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines

Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus

Authors: Ozen Asut, Songul Vaizoglu, Gulifeiya Abuduxike, Sanda Cali

#### Abstract:

In the method section, the authors used the phrase.. The differences between the groups were evaluated using the chi square test. It appeared the authors were conducting a comparative study. The authors could kindly check that sentence.

# Method:

- i. The authors indicated that they employed a descriptive cross sectional study design for the study. There should be a
  HEADING TITLED: Study design that will specifically look at the study design.
- ii. I think the use of descriptive cross sectional study design isnot appropriate for the study. Considering that the exposures and outcomes variables were simultaneously measured at the study same time. Hence analytical cross sectional study would have been more appropriate.

# **Sampling Method**



The authors employed convenience sampling method. This sampling method is often used in qualitative studies. Could the authors have employed a quantitative sampling method?

## **Outcome Measurement**

The authors could kindly check to reconcile what they have put as dependent variables and outcome measurement.

# Pre test of questionnaire

The authors could kindly tell the reader whether the people used for the pilot study were the same participants used for the main work or were different people.

## Ethics Issues

Authors should provide the Institutional ethics compliance approval number.

#### **RESULTS**

## Demographic data

- Under the educational status, could the authors explain below the table, any institution that is higher than a UNIVERSITY. Since it is university and above.
- The use of CHI SQAURE ALONE could not establish a strong association between the variables assessed in the study.
- The perception and Intention of participants did not come out clearly in COMMENTS FROM THE:

Review of the Article Titled: Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines

Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus

Authors: Ozen Asut, Songul Vaizoglu, Gulifeiya Abuduxike, Sanda Cali

## **Abstract:**

In the method section, the authors used the phrase.. The differences between the groups were evaluated using the chi square test. It appeared the authors were conducting a comparative study. The authors could kindly check that sentence.

# Method:

- The authors indicated that they employed a descriptive cross sectional study design for the study. There should be a
  HEADING TITLED: Study design that will specifically look at the study design.
- ii. I think the use of descriptive cross sectional study design isnot appropriate for the study. Considering that the exposures and outcomes variables were simultaneously measured at the study same time. Hence analytical cross sectional study would have been more appropriate.



# **Sampling Method**

The authors employed convenience sampling method. This sampling method is often used in qualitative studies. Could the authors have employed a quantitative sampling method?

#### **Outcome Measurement**

The authors could kindly check to reconcile what they have put as dependent variables and outcome measurement.

# Pre test of questionnaire

The authors could kindly tell the reader whether the people used for the pilot study were the same participants used for the main work or were different people.

#### Ethics Issues

Authors should provide the Institutional ethics compliance approval number.

#### **RESULTS**

## Demographic data

- Under the educational status, could the authors explain below the table, any institution that is higher than a UNIVERSITY. Since it is university and above.
- The use of CHI SQAURE ALONE could not establish a strong association between the variables assessed in the study.
- The perception and Intention of participants did not come out clearly in the analysis.

# CONCLUSION

Authors should kindly make sure that the percentages captured in the conclusion should be removed.

IMPRESSION: Authors could consider these suggestions to make the article excellent for reading

## **ATUBIGA ALOBIT BABA**

## **Tamale Technical University**

Ghana, West Africathe analysis.

## CONCLUSION

Authors should kindly make sure that the percentages captured in the conclusion should be removed.

IMPRESSION: Authors could consider these suggestions to make the article excellent for reading

