

Review of: "Biological Parenthood and Reproductive Technologies"

Emanuele Mangione¹

¹ University of Insubria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although this is an interesting paper, the author needs to work more on it. The author argues that reproductive technologies widen access to parenthood to particularly vulnerable groups, such as LGBTQ+. However, it can be said that these technologies widen access to parenthood to "same-sex couples" or "lesbian/gay couples", rather than to "lesbian, gay or bisexual individuals". Being bisexual, gay, or lesbian does not prevent you from reproducing sexually, whereas being in a same-sex couple does.

The assumption that "patriarchy has been using biology in order to keep women and girls down – and continues to do so" needs further explanation. For instance, there has been much talk in feminist literature about the relation between reproductive technologies and "motherhood". Many feminists maintained that reproductive technologies could set women free. Feminist literature should be addressed more.

Furthermore, it would be of interest to address the reasons why people choose to undergo reproductive technologies. One might wonder: is the wish for biological parenthood just a mere wish?

Finally, let us consider the following passage:

-“I take it, first, that (i.i) is enough for my argument – as the last section showed; but that (i.ii) has potential and, more importantly from an argumentative point of view, that (i.ii) implies (i.), so we will take a shot at demonstrating (i.ii) and highlight any points where the argument might only be enough for (i.i) but not (i.ii)”

While the author informed the readers of the meaning of “i.” and “ii.” at the beginning of the paper, the readers might struggle to understand this passage all the same. I suggest the author should clarify the concept of this very passage by substituting “i.” and “ii.” with the content they refer to.

Overall, this paper has some potential, but major revisions are needed. I wish the author to keep up the good work.

