

Peer Review

Review of: "Investors' Perspective on Healthspan in 2025"

Fauna Frimpong¹

1. Universitat de Lleida, Spain

Comments to the Editor (Confidential)

I thank you for the opportunity to review this article entitled, “Investors’ perspective on Healthspan 2025” for Qeios. The topic is relevant and will be of interest to the readers of this online journal. It is a well-written article and offers new information as well as a new perspective on the topic. It would be a good addition to the pool of Qeios online journal articles as it provides insight into important issues (i.e., promotion of a healthy lifestyle, health prevention, regenerative medicine and its benefits to individuals and society as a whole) and has valid connections with existing studies.

Comments to the Author:

It is a good piece of work. I enjoyed reading this article and have the following feedback:

First,

Section two: the authors wrote the last 5 paragraphs without reference.

Section three: the authors wrote the first 5 paragraphs without reference.

Section four: the authors wrote the first 4 paragraphs without reference.

Providing references is necessary as it allows researchers to acknowledge the contribution of other writers and researchers in the area of work you are engaging in.

Second, it may be necessary to state the limitations that the study presents and indicate future research avenues or gaps you identified that warrant further research.

Otherwise, it is good work.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.