

# Review of: "Fintech Revolution: Empowering Entrepreneurial Intentions through Crowdfunding, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain, Mobile Payments, and Artificial Intelligence"

Brika Said Khalfa Mokhtar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Bisha

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript's potential weaknesses include:

1. While the manuscript presents a wealth of quantitative data, such as hypothesis testing and statistical analysis, it lacks sufficient qualitative insights that could provide a deeper understanding of the underlying phenomena.

2: The manuscript touches on limitations, such as potential biases and generalizability issues, but the discussion is not as detailed or critical as it could be. A more comprehensive analysis of the study's limitations, such as the sample size and geographic focus constraints, would offer a more balanced perspective on the research and aid in comprehending the context for interpreting the findings.

3: The study introduces several emerging technologies like AI, blockchain, and mobile payments, but it doesn't fully engage with broader theoretical frameworks that could contextualize these technologies within the field of entrepreneurship. Integrating established theories from innovation studies, technology adoption, or entrepreneurship could provide a stronger theoretical foundation for the study.

4: The study focuses primarily on students from business institutes in Karachi, which limits the findings' generalizability. Expanding the geographic scope of the study or explicitly acknowledging how the findings might differ in other contexts or sectors could strengthen the manuscript.

5: The reliance on a sample of students from business schools introduces the risk of self-selection bias, as these students may already have a predisposition towards fintech and entrepreneurship. This potential bias could affect the validity of the findings, and a more diverse sample could mitigate this issue.

6: In the results section, there are instances where the interpretation of statistical findings is not entirely consistent with the data presented. For instance, the discussion of some hypotheses with non-significant results presents them as meaningful. Ensuring that all interpretations align with the statistical evidence would improve the manuscript's accuracy and reliability.