

# Review of: "Soccer fans, stadium attendance, and interpersonal trust in the Mexican population"

Alfredo Salomão Filho<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is well-written, objective, and brings an adequate methodology to investigate levels of trust among soccer fans. The appreciation of social capital theory is satisfactory; however, the reader would benefit if a deeper view of Bourdieu's work and more recent work on social capital are brought to light in the manuscript. This is warranted by the many yet convergent existing views of social capital theory.

A few observations follow below:

In the abstract: "Latin American peoples tend to be untrusting". Is there any empirical evidence to support this statement besides Latinobarómetro (2018)? Is there newer research in this area?

In the Introduction section: "More recent studies (Gutiérrez-Rentería et al., 2018, for example) confirm the trend". Could you please provide a brief explanation of how these authors confirm such a trend (decline in trust)?

In the Methodology section: "distributed through social networks". The reader would enjoy to read more about how the author recruited research participants. Was it through network of acquaintances? Snowball sampling?

Table 6: Please capitalize "yes" for consistency.

In the Conclusion section: "In this way, the results obtained contradict what was found in other studies (Rajabi, et al., 2019; Wann, et al., 2011)". The reader would appreciate if a greater contextualization of the referred studies is included.

"This information can provide more specific data and contribute to the state of the art". State of the art regarding what field more specifically?

If the inclusion of participants' socio-economic conditions is flagged as a possible key feature of future research venues, why wasn't it consider in this study?

Thank you.