Review of: "On Violence: an Exchange with ChatGPT — ChatGPT and Suman Gupta"

Thomas Hodges[1]

1 Kennesaw State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting read, but it brings up more questions than answers. I would agree with other reviewers that ask for an analysis or contextualization of the conversation.

I could sympathize with an argument that this form of writing – without analysis – has benefits of bring diverse style which might serve to counter orthodoxy. However, this would be best achieved by bringing the conversation around further. It starts with violence, then moves to reflect on AI, but what about the potential for violence in AI. This seems to be where you were leading the conversation, but it stopped short. That form of writing might serve the purpose of analysis that I and others are asking for while maintaining the unorthodox style.