

# Review of: "Unravelling the Phytochemical and Pharmacognosy Contour of Traditional Medicinal Plant: Pterocarpus Marsupium Roxb"

Ika Rahayu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Kristen Krida Wacana

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

A few points worth considering in this article include:

1. The grammar needs improvement.
2. Inconsistencies in spacing and terminology are present, such as "anti-diabetic" and "antidiabetic".
3. The abstract should consist of a brief introduction, the research objective (clearly stating the purpose of this study), brief results and discussion, and the conclusion drawn from this research. The objectives are not clearly stated.
4. The introduction should explain the background and the significance of conducting this review. Begin by stating the issues, then elaborate on unanswered questions, and outline the writing objectives. This will guide the author in reviewing and drawing conclusions.
5. The discussion should begin with the basic aspect and progress to the complex matter. For example, start with antioxidant activity and expand towards anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, etc.
6. For the subtitle "antibacterial and antimicrobial activity", it is better to use the subtitle "antimicrobial activity" as it already encompasses the antibacterial and antifungal aspects.
7. In the anti-hyperlipidemia section, you mentioned neuro and kidney aspects. It would be better if that part were separated to provide a new dimension, as it is something distinct.
8. The conclusion is too extensive; it should be addressed to the research objectives.
9. The abbreviation is not available.