

Peer Review

Review of: "Neoreaction, Accelerationism, Entrepreneurship"

Aaron Ahali¹

1. University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

Some Conceptual Challenges

1. Theoretical Ambiguity

The paper merges complex ideological traditions—libertarianism, neoreaction, and accelerationism—but their boundaries are not clearly defined.

Terms like “libertarian,” “corporate state,” and “exit” are invoked but not critically examined. For instance, neoreaction is portrayed as an extension of libertarianism, yet in many cases, they diverge sharply on the issue of hierarchy and freedom.

I would suggest that you define each ideological term early and clarify how they relate or differ conceptually.

2. Philosophical Conflation

The use of Deleuze and Guattari’s ideas (deterritorialisation, repetition) feels metaphorical rather than methodologically integrated.

There is a risk of conceptual “jumping”: Deleuze’s ideas are rooted in ontology and psychoanalysis, whereas neoreaction and accelerationism are political-economic frameworks.

I think you really need to explain explicitly how Deleuze’s concepts illuminate (rather than merely parallel) the dynamics of capitalism or state formation.

3. Causal Gaps

The text assumes without justification that the corporatization of the state will inevitably lead to hegemonic corporate states, or that entrepreneurship can “redirect” capitalism. These claims need empirical or theoretical grounding—what mechanisms ensure these outcomes?

4. Normative Ambivalence

The paper observes that neoreaction denies political freedom but guarantees economic freedom. Yet it remains unclear whether the author critiques or supports this outcome.

The author's normative stance needs to be clarified. Is this paper descriptive, analytical, or prescriptive?

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.