

Review of: "A Study for Estimation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Cotton in Central Greece"

Fatih Konukcu¹

1 Namik Kemal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In general, the manuscript was nicely set up and presented. The topic is quite interesting. The article is suggested to be published subject to minor revision.

The minor revision/suggestions:

- 1. Sometimes dots and sometimes commas are used to separate decimals in numbers. Which one is correct? Uniformity must be ensured.
- 2. kg and tons were used as units for the amount of GHG emissions. It is recommended to use one and the same unit for all articles. Also, sometimes "t" and sometimes "t" are used as abbreviations for ton.
- 3. It is not clear why the figure title was given above Figure 1a, on page 7.
- 4. Page 7 under the heading "GHG emissions from inputs", the unit is missing in the last line of the first paragraph. "......and a range between 2,958 6,220 CO2e ???? ha-1 for cotton production in China (Weibin H. et al., 2021)."
- 5. The references below were listed in the References but missing in the text.

Lal, R. (2004),

Masson, V., Delmotte, H.-O., Pörtner, D. C., Roberts, P., Zhai, R., Slade, S., Connors, S., van Diemen, S.(2019),

Vermeulen, S. J., Campbell, B. M., and Ingram, J. S. I. (2012), and

World Wildlife Fund, India (WWF-India),