

Review of: "The antithetical relationship of Entrepreneurship and Corruption on Radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study"

Mohsen Mohammadi Khyareh¹

¹ Gonbad Kavous University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study addresses a crucial issue at the intersection of entrepreneurship, corruption, and radicalization, especially relevant in the context of North African countries like Morocco. The study considers various factors, including gender, religiosity, income, and trust in the government, and examines their connections with radicalization. This multidimensional approach enhances the comprehensiveness of the analysis. However, in my opinion there are some areas to improve the paper;

1. **Introduction and Background:** While the introduction provides context and introduces the research question, it lacks clarity on the exact focus of the study. The introduction could benefit from a more concise and clear statement of the research objectives and hypothesis.
2. **Theoretical Framework:** The paper lacks a comprehensive theoretical framework that could guide the analysis. It introduces concepts like entrepreneurship, corruption, and radicalization but doesn't establish a solid theoretical foundation that links these concepts and explains their interrelations.
3. **Literature Review:** The literature review is detailed, but it seems to be a collection of various scholarly viewpoints without a clear narrative or synthesis. The inclusion of various sources makes the review appear somewhat disjointed and could be improved by highlighting key trends and establishing the theoretical underpinning of the study.
4. **Methodology:** The methodology section provides some information about the data collection process but lacks crucial details. For example, information about the development of the questionnaire, the validation process, and the reliability of the data collection could enhance the methodological rigor.
5. **Data Analysis and Findings:** The presentation of the findings could be improved by providing clear tables or figures to support the statistical results. This would aid the reader in better understanding the relationships between variables.
6. **Discussion and Implications:** The discussion section should not only summarize the findings but also interpret them in light of the research objectives and theoretical framework. Furthermore, the implications of the results could be elaborated upon, both for policy and future research.
7. **Conclusion:** The conclusion should reiterate the key findings, revisit the research question, and provide a more succinct summary of the implications. It's also a good place to reflect on the limitations of the study and suggest avenues for future research.

