

# Review of: "Grammatical Aspects of Feminatives Through the Ukrainian Prism"

Svetlana Tomic

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is interesting, but the paper is too short, at times it looks more like a sketch than a scholarly paper. My comments are mostly methodological. What is missing firstly is a detailed review of the research done on this topic within Ukrainian linguistics (especially within historical linguistics and sociolinguistics), then an explanation of what is new that this work brings in relation to previous research. Furthermore, data on some researches and their critical analysis have been omitted. For example, Arkhangelsk survey 2019 and Plačinda 2018 are mentioned, but the readers do not learn about the first study, which sample of respondents was utilized, what the survey methodology was, and what response those surveys had in professional circles. There is also a lack of the description of the second research, as well as an explanation of why the author chose that corpus, whether there are other sources and what distinguishes them.

It would be useful to point out the interest in this topic in other Slavic languages, because there are similarities and some differences (long history of the existence of feminine forms, presence or absence of political support, etc.). The author mentions that the Ukrainian language has a long tradition of naming women, but the question of how this tradition has been perceived over time (eg in research and formal education) and how today's problems are related to that tradition has been completely left aside.

I fully agree with the comments made by Yana Kirey-Sitnikova. Other comments concern the structuring of the text. Can a sentence exist as a paragraph? Can some of two-sentence paragraphs be better developed?