

Review of: "Political Transition in Sudan"

Maria Thaemar Tana¹

¹ University of the Philippines

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments:

- The introduction is too short and does not provide sufficient background to the problem or issue/s to be addressed. What is the paper's research question? What is the main argument? What theoretical or conceptual paper is used to explain the problem? What are the gaps in extant studies that this paper hopes to fill? In other words, what are the paper's contributions? Lastly, how is the paper organized and what is the logic behind the organization?
- The paper is too descriptive and lacks critical analysis. It reads like a literature review and the information presented can be acquired from any other existing source.
- There are some points that need to be substantiated.
- Including transition sentences in between sections would be helpful.
- There are some grammatical errors that need to be corrected.
- Overall, the paper is useful if one would like to have a general knowledge of Sudan's political history and the current issues it is facing, including the efforts at and challenges to democratic transition. The author, however, should strengthen the paper's argument and base it on a theory or a concept.