

Review of: "Investigating Quality of Life in Mental Health Professionals and the Role of Social Support and Spiritual Needs: A Cross-Sectional Study in Greece"

Mitra Faghihi¹

¹ Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Islamic Republic of

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Why did you choose social support and spiritual needs?
2. In the abstract, first give explanations about social support, spiritual needs, and explain why professionals need it.
3. The abbreviation MSPSS is mentioned for the first time in the text;
You must define it in this section, that is, specify each of the letters that are specific to a word. In the future use of it in the text, you can summarize it.
4. The abbreviation SpNQ is mentioned for the first time in the text; you must define it in this section, that is, specify each of the letters that are specific to a word. In the future use of it in the text, you can summarize it.
5. In the abstract of the article, also report the value of R for spiritual needs.
6. Check the MESH keywords to make sure they are correct.
7. It is better to talk about mental health professionals and their problems in the introduction of the article. In your title and objectives, you target them, but in this section, you are talking about nurses.
8. In the second paragraph of the introduction, cite studies of mental health professionals, if any.
9. Sarris and colleagues should be written correctly in the second paragraph of the introduction.
10. The introduction does not have the necessary continuity. Explain the existing gap correctly. If necessary, provide statistics on the problems of mental health professionals and write related materials.
11. It is not explained in the method section of the second paragraph on what basis the sample size was selected. Explain.
12. Generally, it is not explained on what basis the samples were selected. Is the data collection done randomly or not? How is the variety of samples determined? How did you choose them accordingly? This information is important for understanding the validity of the sample and the results and should be mentioned in the article.
13. In some parts of the article, you used an abbreviation, but in other parts, you used the full term, such as "quality of life." Because you have used an abbreviation in the previous parts, it is better to proceed in the same way in the rest of the text. Either use the abbreviation or its full phrase.
14. In paragraphs 5 and 6 of the method, where you explained about the tools, state the highest and lowest score that can be obtained by each participant according to the questionnaire.
15. Paragraph 3, where you talked about the internal reliability results of the quality of life questionnaire, should be moved after the results you presented for the demographic information.
16. The results of measuring the normality of the data should also be transferred after the reliability of the questionnaire.

17. At the beginning of the discussion, the purpose of this study should be written, and then the discussion about the different sections and the results of the study should be written.
18. The discussion of the study is very poorly written; only similar studies and their alignment with the present study are stated. In the discussion of the article, the data obtained from the results section should be investigated more deeply, and the reasons and causes of the obtained data should be deeply reviewed. The content of the discussion section of the article mainly includes the following:

1- **Explanation of the results:** determine whether your results were expected or not and then explain the results;

2- **Reference to previous studies:** compare your results with the findings of other studies or use other studies to confirm your claim.

3- **Inference:** inference means reasoning about the general application of the results. For example, describing teachings, offering suggestions for improving a situation, or suggesting best approaches. This part of the discussion should discuss the results of the data in depth.

- **Hypotheses:** a general claim or possible conclusion that stems from the results (may or may not be confirmed in subsequent studies).

Your article has the first two sections but not sections 3 and 4. Check and add if possible.

19. The text of the article needs general editing in terms of grammar.