

# Review of: "Real-World Efficacy of N95, Surgical, and Cloth Masks in Mitigating SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory Infections: A Comprehensive Comparative Study"

Poonam Sagar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Agri-Food Biotechnology Institute (NABI)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have reviewed the provided manuscript, and it appears to be well-written with no obvious shortcomings. However, I can offer a few suggestions for improvement:

1. In the sentence, "The recruitment process was halted once we achieved a count of 1,000 volunteers for each mask type," you can improve clarity by specifying the total number of participants. For example: "The recruitment process was halted once we achieved a count of 1,000 volunteers for each mask type, resulting in a total of 3,000 participants."
2. What parameters (e.g. age, sex, health conditions etc.) were chosen during the recruitment of subjects for the study?
3. Please mention the specifications of surgical and cloth masks e.g. pore size, layering of the cloth (single/double) etc.
4. During the study, were the cloth masks washed and reused or fresh mask worn daily?