

Review of: "[Review Article] A Comprehensive Overview on Pharmacological and Therapeutic Insights of Solanum nigrum Linn"

Tambo Tene Stephano¹

¹ Université de Dschang

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I would like to thank the Editors for the honor they have bestowed on me by associating me with the revision of this article. I would also like to congratulate the authors on their hard work. They have tried to bring out the biological potential of this plant through a meta-cross-referencing of scientific information. Notwithstanding this, there are some minor typological and substantive errors to be noted.

Summary: I would like the authors to mention the compounds identified rather than the classes, which do not really provide information on the plant's specificity.

Introduction: The authors should provide a little more information on this section. Support it better.

Other parts: I recommend that authors really highlight the information on the plant, rather than just quoting the authors who have worked on the various aspects covered here. Indeed, in a review, one must have clear information that stands out directly.

Complete the taxonomy with the different subspecies, as activities may vary from one subspecies to another.

Improve anti-VIH, neurological, etc., activities with more authors.

Add the most recent references.

Combine clinical trials with the respective parts of each sentence (I don't see the importance of that part).

Add more information about the potentials of association of the extracts of this plant with conventional drugs that exist for the treatments of some diseases mentioned.

Please remove or combine results and discussion with other parts (not necessary in this work).