

Peer Review

Review of: "Long COVID in Mexico – Research Efforts"

Hany Akeel Al-hussaniy¹

1. University of Baghdad, Iraq

Major Concerns

1. Lack of Systematic Review Methodology

Although the manuscript summarizes research findings, the selection criteria for included studies are not described. It is unclear whether the article represents a narrative review or a structured evidence synthesis. Providing inclusion criteria, search strategy, or study selection justification would improve transparency and reproducibility.

2. Limited Critical Appraisal of Included Studies

The manuscript reports findings from various studies but does not evaluate their methodological quality, sample heterogeneity, or potential biases. Inclusion of a brief critical assessment would strengthen scientific credibility.

3. Absence of Quantitative Synthesis

The summary presents descriptive findings without quantitative comparison across studies. Even simple prevalence ranges or symptom frequency estimates would enhance scientific impact.

4. Insufficient Discussion of Diagnostic Criteria

The manuscript does not clearly state which diagnostic frameworks were used across studies, such as international definitions of post-COVID conditions. Clarifying diagnostic heterogeneity is essential for interpretation.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.