

Review of: "Covid-19 vaccine uptake and its associated factors among rural households in The Gambia: a community-based cross-sectional study"

Mario Coccia¹

¹ Italian National Research Council

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Covid-19 vaccine prevalence and its associated factors among rural households in The Gambia: a community-based cross-sectional study

The topics of this paper are interesting. The structure and content can be revised, and results can be better explained by the authors.

Title can be shorter to be effective.

Abstract can clarify the goal, methods, and results, as well as implications for health policy to be effective for rural areas of The Gambia and similar countries.

Introduction can better clarify the research questions of this study and provide more theoretical background about aspects concerning the rollout of vaccination, optimal level of vaccination, communication, how to support vaccination, etc. After that, they can focus on the topics of this study to provide a correct analysis for fruitful discussion (See suggested readings that must be all read and used in the text).

Methods of this study are not clear. The section of Materials and methods can be re-structured with the following three sections and same order to be clear:

- Sample and data
- Measures of variables
- Models and Data analysis procedure.

Authors have to avoid a lot of subheadings that create fragmentation and confusion. If necessary, they can use bullet points (same comments for the section of results and all sections).

Results.

Table 1 can clarify in the title that it is about Gambia and the period of data. Table 1 is long and can be broken in two, using as title Table 1, Table 1 continued, etc.

Table 2 same comments as Table 1.

Figures have to clarify in the title that they are about Gambia and the period. Figure 2 shows only two types of vaccines, and the others, why they are not considered?

Discussion is poor.

First, authors can synthesize the main results in a simple table to be clear for readers and then show what this study adds compared to other studies. A SWOT matrix can clarify the pros and cons of vaccination plans, using lessons from COVID-19, to improve the rollout for the next health emergencies. Best practices to reduce hesitancy can be presented with clear bullet points of health policy.

Conclusion does not have to be a summary, but authors have to focus on the manifold limitations of this study and provide suggestions for health, communication, incentive systems, and social policy and institutional changes to improve the rollout of vaccination, as well as how nations can improve with good governance the implementation of vaccination in the context of crisis management.

Overall, then, the paper is interesting, but the theoretical framework is weak, and some results create confusion... The structure of the paper has to be improved; study design, discussion, and presentation of results have to be clarified using the suggested comments.

Thanks.

Suggested readings of relevant papers that have to be read and all inserted in the text and references.

Wagner, A.L., Zhang, F., Kerekes, S., Shih, S.-F., Zhao, L. 2023. COVID-19 vaccination preferences during a pause in Johnson & Johnson vaccine administration *Vaccine: X*, 15, 100373

Reichmuth, M.L., Heron, L., Riou, J., ...Low, N., Althaus, C.L. 2023. Socio-demographic characteristics associated with COVID-19 vaccination uptake in Switzerland: longitudinal analysis of the CoMix study, *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 1523

Magazzino C., Mele M., Coccia M. 2022. A machine learning algorithm to analyze the effects of vaccination on COVID-19 mortality. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 1–24. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268822001418>

de Figueiredo, A., Simas, C., Larson, H.J. 2023 COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and its socio-demographic and emotional determinants: A multi-country cross-sectional study, *Vaccine* 41(2), pp. 354-364

Coccia M. 2019. Comparative Institutional Changes. A. Farazmand (ed.), *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, Springer, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_1277-1

Jennings, W., Valgarðsson, V., McKay, L., ...Mello, E., Baniamin, H.M. 2023. Trust and vaccine hesitancy during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-national analysis. *Vaccine: X*, 14, 100299

Coccia M. 2023. Sources, diffusion and prediction in COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learned to face next health emergency[J]. *AIMS Public Health*, 2023, 10(1): 145-168. doi: 10.3934/publichealth.2023012

- Sallam, M., Al-Sanafi, M., Sallam, M. 2022A Global Map of COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance Rates per Country: An Updated Concise Narrative Review. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*15, pp. 21-45
- Coccia M. 2019. Intrinsic and extrinsic incentives to support motivation and performance of public organizations, *Journal of Economics Bibliography*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 20-29, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1453/jeb.v6i1.1795>
- Verger, P., Peretti-Watel, P., 2021. Understanding the determinants of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines: a challenge in a fast-moving situation. *The Lancet. Public health* 6 (4), e195–e196. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(21\)00029-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00029-3).
- Coccia M. 2022. Optimal levels of vaccination to reduce COVID-19 infected individuals and deaths: A global analysis. *Environmental Research*, vol. 204, Part C, March 2022, Article number 112314, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112314>
- Moehring, A., Collis, A., Garimella, K., (...), Aral, S., Eckles, D. 2023. Providing normative information increases intentions to accept a COVID-19 vaccine. *Nature Communications*14(1),126
- Benati I., Coccia M. 2022. Global analysis of timely COVID-19 vaccinations: Improving governance to reinforce response policies for pandemic crises. *International Journal of Health Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHG-07-2021-0072>
- Coccia M. 2022. COVID-19 Vaccination is not a Sufficient Public Policy to face Crisis Management of next Pandemic Threats. *Public Organization Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-022-00661-6>
- Xie, L., Wang, D., Ma, F. 2023Analysis of individual characteristics influencing user polarization in COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *Computers in Human Behavior*143,107649
- Coccia M. 2022. Improving preparedness for next pandemics: Max level of COVID-19 vaccinations without social impositions to design effective health policy and avoid flawed democracies. *Environmental Research*, vol. 213, October 2022, n. 113566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113566>
- Athey, S., Grabarz, K., Luca, M., Wernerfelt, N. 2023. Digital public health interventions at scale: The impact of social media advertising on beliefs and outcomes related to COVID vaccines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 120(5), e2208110120
- Coccia M. 2022. COVID-19 pandemic over 2020 (with lockdowns) and 2021 (with vaccinations): similar effects for seasonality and environmental factors. *Environmental Research*, Volume 208, 15 May 2022, n. 112711. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.112711>
- Dickson, K., Aboltins, C., Pelly, J., Jessup, R.L. 2023. Effective communication of COVID-19 vaccine information to recently-arrived culturally and linguistically diverse communities from the perspective of community engagement and partnership organisations: a qualitative study, *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(1), 877
- Coccia M. 2023. Effects of strict containment policies on COVID-19 pandemic crisis: lessons to cope with next pandemic impacts. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, 30(1), 2020–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356->

022-22024-w

Ali, F., Kaura, A., Russell, C., ...Shahin, R., Elton-Marshall, T. 2023. Identifying barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 vaccination uptake among People Who Use Drugs in Canada: a National Qualitative Study. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 20(1), 99

Coccia M. 2021. Pandemic Prevention: Lessons from COVID-19. *Encyclopedia*, vol. 1, n. 2, pp. 433-444. doi: 10.3390/encyclopedia1020036

Soleimanpour, H., Sarbazi, E., Esmaeili, E.D., ...Vali, M., Azizi, H. 2023. Predictors of receiving COVID-19 vaccine among adult population in Iran: an observational study, *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 490

Pierz, A.J., Rauh, L., Masoud, D., ...Ratzan, S.C., Parker, R. 2023. Supporting US healthcare providers for successful vaccine communication. *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(1), 423