

## Review of: "Developing Engineering Education Universities in India"

Luiz Alberto Pilatti<sup>1</sup>

1 Federal University of Technology - Paraná/Brazil (UTFPR)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article "Developing Engineering Education Universities in India" provides an overview of the establishment and evolution of technical teacher training institutions in India, highlighting the transformation of these institutions into advanced research universities in engineering education.

The document covers the diversity and extent of the programs offered, which are essential for a wide range of technical disciplines. International collaborations, such as with UNESCO and other global educational institutions, expand the reach and strengthen the global position of the universities mentioned. Moreover, the continuous updating of curricula to reflect modern global practices is a necessary initiative to maintain the academic competitiveness of the institutions.

Despite the positives, the document predominantly uses action research as the main methodology, which has significant limitations, especially in terms of generalizing the results. The lack of robust empirical data and detailed evidence to support the claims weakens the credibility of the conclusions presented.

Curricular innovation and the implementation of updates are mentioned superficially, without a deep exploration of the specific methods used. This lack of detail impedes a clear understanding of pedagogical innovation in practice.

Additionally, the analysis of the impact of the programs is limited and does not include clear success metrics or long-term performance indicators.

To improve future versions of the document and the initiatives of the institutions in question, it is suggested to adopt a more rigorous research approach that combines quantitative and qualitative methods. Implementing systematic and detailed impact assessments will also allow for the practical and concrete measurement of the effectiveness of the programs.

Increasing transparency in program descriptions and including more empirical evidence and concrete data could significantly strengthen future versions of the document. Furthermore, fostering a culture of internal criticism and self-assessment would help the institutions identify not only successes but also failures and areas for improvement, promoting continuous and sustainable improvement.

Qeios ID: QXW4Q5 · https://doi.org/10.32388/QXW4Q5

