

Peer Review

Review of: "Analysis of Gross Margin of Women Melon Processors With and Without Improved Melon Seed Shelling Technology: A Study in Benue and Niger States"

E. A. Alhassan¹

1. Landmark University, Nigeria

This study presents the importance of Improved Melon Seed Shelling Technology when compared to the manual shelling technique. The concept is good and suitable for economic planning that can foster productivity and efficiency through drudgery reduction in the farming environment. Technological adoption has been presented as economically rewarding in this study, which can emancipate the melon farming eco-system. The presentation is inadequate, which necessitates the need for the authors to make some corrections in this manuscript to improve its quality for publication.

Comments

ABSTRACT

Line 1: This can't be true. IMSST should be more than 10 years old (more than a decade).

Abbreviations should be defined before listing—GM, WEAL, etc.

Generally,

Kindly note these general comments.

There is a need to improve this manuscript write-up and presentation to flow fluently and for easy comprehension and readability. There are many grammatical errors, irrelevant information, and incomplete sentences. Thoroughly and painstakingly take time to read through for correction as appropriate.

... We are in an innovative era... How true can this be? Innovation has been in existence and keeps evolving.

What is the meaning of SSA?

H_{01} – is this correct? H_0 or H_1 ?

Face-to-face interview, not face interview.

In the Literature Review, the authors should follow standards for technical report writing. It should cover what was done, major findings, and observed knowledge gap(s).

Is the budgetary concept the same as GM?

Some tables were not mentioned before presentation.

Results and discussion should be explicit enough, addressing the objectives of the study. It seems lumped up, hindering proper understanding of the presentation. Correct segmentation of the different sections will foster the comprehension of the obtained output or results from the study.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.