

Review of: "A Systematic Review of Factors Associated with Special Education Teacher Recruitment"

Mengesha Robso

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Dear editor,

Thanks for the opportunity to review the manuscript entitled "A Systematic Review of Factors Associated with Special Education Teacher Recruitment" for your esteemed journal 'Qeios Journal'. Generally speaking, it was a good paper; however, there are some points which should be considered:

1. A grammar checking is recommended, I suggest that the manuscript be proofread by a native English speaker or Editing service. So please make sure there are no English errors.
2. For Abbreviations, please first write the full forms. For example, GYO, SOC, CEEDAR and others. Please check all cases similar.
3. The title of introduction or background part of the study was missed. So your research should include it, unless it would be confusing?
4. The study's objectives need more elaboration at the end of the introduction part? Just before you proceed to the statement of the problem or significance of the study section.
5. The literature review needs to shed light on theoretical underpinnings and experimental backgrounds. Please also identify the gap/novelty of your study.
6. Sampling is not clear. Please elaborate on how the author selected the participants of 30. I mean the sampling system employed to select participants in the study?
7. You didn't talk about the novelty of your study. At the end of the literature review, the readers are expected to see why your study was conducted.
8. What is your research design? Is it descriptive or purposive or what? Why?
9. The abstract part of the study lacks clarity and completion; it did not well state about the study, methodology, findings and its merit?
10. All employed documents in the reference are not APA style?
11. If possible add more key words.

With Regards!

Mengesha Robso Wodajo