

Review of: "Comparative Analysis of Sustainable Livestock Development as a Source of Livelihood to Farmers of Maharashtra and Jammu and Kashmir"

Moye Eric Kongnso¹

1 Université de Dschang

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Sections	Remarks
1. Abstract	Format for writing scientific abstract not respected. It should announce the problem, present methods of data collection and analysis, major findings, and perspectives.
1. Introduction	The introduction is not well developed. The author needs more literature on the subject matter. This will permit the author to identify the scientific gap, show clearly the contribution of this paper. It shallowly shows the context of livestock development. Equally, research questions and/or objectives should have been presented in this section.
Methodology	Methods and tools are completely absent, and it is totally unacceptable in scientific writing. The author needs to present the study area, the study population, methods of data collection and analysis. This is necessary to understand the results presented. How did you do the comparative analysis?
Results	Results are rich and clearly compare livestock development strategies between the two states. However, it is unclear how these results were obtained, and the reasons for the disparity are not sufficiently advanced.
Discussions	Findings are not discussed. I suggest this section be given particular attention. Main findings should be examined and critiqued in relation to other works in the livestock production sector.
Conclusion	This section should give a brief summary of the work before presenting perspectives.
References	References need to be checked. Firstly, the referencing style needs to be respected throughout. Second, some authors cited in the text are not found in the references
Overall	This article has plenty of information but it needs to be restructured. It requires major corrections before publication

Qeios ID: R1PV4Y · https://doi.org/10.32388/R1PV4Y