

Review of: "Proof of Concept typology: a method for classification of PoC activities according to a technology cycle timeframe"

Zhao Li

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a meaningful topic in the field of technological innovation and commercialization. This research can help scholars and practitioners to better classify and manage technology from the perspective of technology life cycle

PoC activities have been categorized into Pathbreaking PoCs, Mature PoCs and Catching-Up PoCs, and according to Gartner Hype Cycle to identify the timeframes for the funding gap. I think this is very important. However, in the process of technological commercialization, funding is indeed an important factor, but are there other important factors to be considered for the typology?

In terms of readability, there are some long sentences in the paper, which affect the reading experience.

Qeios ID: R28ZXE · https://doi.org/10.32388/R28ZXE