

# Review of: "Aerococcus urinae Endocarditis: An Emerging Infectious Disease"

Farid Rahimi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Australian National University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The author has written and presented this study well. I do have some suggestions to present.

The last sentence in the abstract needs to be revised because the subject of the statement ‘... the potential of *A. urinae* isolated from blood cultures ...’ to cause disease is logically wrong. The sentence must be rephrased to convey that the presence of bacteria in the blood, as confirmed by culturing, can potentially be the cause of the disease.

Please revise the use of reference 25 in the Introduction because this reference does not directly support the claim made by the author about classifying the bacterial agent as an emerging cause of an infectious disease. Reference 25 discusses emerging and re-emerging diseases in general with no mention of the agent in this study. Presenting an international perspective regarding this bacterial agent and endocarditis will be helpful if such a perspective exists (like reference 31, but specific to the agent—it was difficult to find the cited article 31, while the website link is missing from the citation.)

Please revise the statement ‘He was not felt to have endocarditis ...’. The use of passive voice and the verb ‘felt’ seems to be logically or factually incorrect. How does one feel someone else to have or not have endocarditis?

Revise to remove repetitions: ‘Replacement surgery was performed in all 11 patients who underwent emergency valvular replacement surgery, and all 11 survived.’