

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

Salsabeel N. El Gendy

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*

The manuscript is well written and discuss good points; however, it is better to take into consideration the following corrections.

1. Background

"Phytotoxic metabolites from the legume *Ascochyta* and *Phoma* [16], and child deaths in the Caribbean, Mexico, and Central America, due to *Thevetia peruviana*, *Chenopodium ambrosioides*, and *Argemone* **mexicana**, **consumption** have also been reported."

In the bold words, please replace the comma with apostrophe.

2.2 Material

1- "Leaves of *Clematis hirsuta* and roots of *Rhamnus prinoides* were sourced from Nyeri County."

This sentence is better placed under the section "Medicinal plant collection and identification"

2- The authors mentioned "Wistar rats" within the equipment used.

"Wistar rats (Animal holding unit, University of Nairobi)"

This phrase is better to be included under the section "Experimental animals"

2.3. Medicinal plant collection and identification

Please mention the number of the voucher specimens.

Table 1 and 2:

In the caption: "Means where the superscripts are different across the rows are

significantly different at $p < 0.05$." please add comma after "rows" and explain the meaning of the superscripts a, b, and ab.

4. Discussion

In the line 11 and line 33: please add a space “of C . hirsute” between of and C.